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#### Abstract

Investors always have high hopes for stock returns, but that is sometimes beyond expectations so investors must be careful in buying shares. The purpose of this study is to provide information to investors about what factors in2uence stock returns, with this noti1cation, investor expectations of stock returns are met. The population used in the study is a manufacturing company listed on the IDX. The population technique used was purposive sampling. The analysis begins with the classic assumption test and the estimated panel data model, and continues with the t-test and F-test as well as the determination test. The results obtained are to meet the expectations of investors on stock returns is to increase the market value added ratio and return on assets ratio.
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## Introduction

Capital market is one source of economic progress because they can be a source and alternative for companies besides banks. The capital market is a 1 nancing alternative to obtain capital at relatively cheap costs and also a place for short-term and long-term investments. Public companies listed on the stock exchange every year must submit annual and monetary reports to the Stock Exchange and investors.

Investors or prospective investors have high expectations of investment, namely obtaining pro1ts, security, and growth of invested funds. For this reason, in making a stock investment,
investors must analyze the factors that can affect the condition of the issuer. The goal is that investors get a clearer picture of the company's ability to continue to grow and develop in the future (Dewi \& H, 2018). Ratio analysis is a tool used to help analyze the company's 1 nancial statements so that it can know the strengths and weaknesses of a company. Ratio analysis also provides indicators that can measure the level of pro1tability, liquidity, income, utilization of assets and corporate liabilities (Munawir, 2014)

From the investor's point of view, one important indicator is to assess the prospects of the company in the future by looking at the extent of the company's pro1tability (Ikbar and Dewi, 2015). This indicator is very important to know the extent to which investments that investors will make in a company are able to provide returns as expected by investors. However, the use of pro1tability ratios has weaknesses, only pay attention to short-term pro1ts and do not pay attention to the risks facing the company by ignoring the existence of the cost of equity that must be borne by the shareholders. So, it is diZcult to 1 nd out whether the company has succeeded in creating a company value.

Market Value Added (MVA) measures managerial actions since the establishment of the company. Shareholder wealth will be maximized by maximizing the difference between the market value of corporate equity and the amount of equity capital invested by investors (Brigham, 2011). This difference is called MVA. If the company has a goal to multiply shareholder wealth, the measure used to assess the performance of the company should have a direct relationship with the return received by the shareholders. As a benchmark for good performance, MVA should have an in2uence on a company's shareholder wealth, as well as other performance benchmarks. Nakhaei (2016) states that MVA has a positive and signi1cant in2uence on stock returns in companies in Malaysia. Similarly, Kumar \& Subramanyam (2017) also stated that MVA has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns in cement companies in India.

Return on Assets (ROA) is used to measure the effectiveness of a company in generating pro1ts by utilizing its assets. ROA is obtained by comparing the net income after tax (NIAT) to average total assets. NIAT is net income after tax, but if there are minority rights bene1ts must be taken into account. The leverage of total assets is the average total assets of the beginning of the year and end of the year. The greater the ROA shows the better performance, because the stock returns are getting bigger. research (Pik Har \& A1f. Abdul Ghafar, 2015) that Return On Asset has a signi1cant in2uence on stock returns in the plantation sector in Malaysia. Likewise with the results of the study (Salamat \& Mustafa, 2016) that Return On Asset has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns.

Recently a new approach has been developed in measuring performance known as Net Pro1t Margin (NPM), which is the ratio between net pro1t, which is after deducting all expenses including tax compared to sales (Lukman Syamsuddin, 2007). The greater the NPM, the performance of the company will be as productive as possible, so that it will increase investor con1dence to invest in the company. This ratio shows how much percentage of net pro1t earned from each sale. The greater this ratio is considered to be the better the company's ability to obtain high pro1ts. According to the study (Anwaar, 2016) that Net Pro1t Margin has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns on listed companies of London's FTSE-100. Whereas according to (Agave, Efrani, \& Rosmalena, 2018) states that Net Pro1t Margin has a negative and not signi1cant effect on stock returns in banking companies.

The PER ratio is one of the ratios commonly used to measure market prices for each common stock with earnings per share. This calculation is done by dividing the stock price on the stock with the net pro1t per share. Price Earning Ratio (PER) is important because the amount of pro1t generated by the company will actually determine the amount of dividends the company will be able to pay later. If the pro1t goes up, there is a chance that the dividend will rise too. According to (Khan, 2009) Price Earning Ratio has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns in the Textile sector in Pakistan. Likewise according to (Arslan \& Zaman, 2014) which shows that Price Earning

Ratio also has a signi1cant effect on stock returns in the non sector Finance in Pakistan.
The development of the economic sector that supports the smooth running of economic activities, especially in the food and beverage sector in Indonesia, is very interesting. Food and beverage companies are one of the sectors that are of interest to investors, the reason is that this sector is one of the sectors that can survive amidst the conditions of the Indonesian economy, because more and more food and beverage companies are expected to provide pro1table prospects to meet people's needs, besides The prospect of the company in this sector is very good because basically every community needs food and drink in life (Devi and Putu, 2012).m.

Investment is an important means of increasing the ability to collect and maintain wealth. Investment can be interpreted as a commitment to invest a number of funds at this time with the aim of obtaining a number of future bene1ts (Shamsudin, Mahmood, and Ismail, 2013). One investment option can be made through the capital market because the capital market is a meeting place for parties who have excess funds with those who need funds to trade securities that generally have more than one year of age, such as shares. The expectation of investors in investing in stocks in addition to being the owner of a company with a certain proportion of ownership, the invested shares are expected to be able to provide a certain rate of return or return (Kristiana and Sriwidodo, 2012).

The 1 nancial crisis in 2008 hit all sectors in IDX, including in the Food \& Beverages subsector, where investors felt threatened by these conditions so that they made a massive selloff and resulted in a decline in stock prices. Food and Beverages companies are used in this study, because this company is a fairly large and rapidly growing group of companies in Indonesia. Food and Beverages companies have a very tight competitive climate. Shares of food and beverage companies steal more interest from investors because food and beverage companies are one of the businesses that never die for food needs which are basic human needs (Öztürk, 2017). Seeing this condition, many companies want to enter the sector so that competition is very tight. For this reason, companies must strengthen internal factors so they can continue to grow and survive in competition.

Kumar and Subramanyam (2017) states that MVA has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns in cement companies in India. Nakhaei's research (2016) also states that MVA has a positive and signi1cant in2uence on stock returns in companies in Malaysia.

ROA is a ratio that measures operating eZciency based on pro1t generated from the company's total assets. Research of Agave et al. (2018) shows that ROA has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns in banking companies. Research conducted by Pik Har \& A1f. Abdul Ghafar (2015) also shows that ROA has a signi1cant in2uence on stock returns

Net Pro1t Margin is a ratio used to measure net income after tax compared to sales. The increase in NPM illustrates that the company's performance is getting better and the pro1ts gained by shareholders will increase. The increase in pro1ts (net income) will re2ect the share of pro1ts in the form of dividend gains and capital gains received by shareholders will be greater. Research conducted by (Heikal, Khadda1, \& Ummah, 2014) shows that Net Pro1t Margin has a signi1cant effect on stock returns on companies automotive. Meanwhile, Hermawan (2012) shows that NPM has no signi1cant effect on stock returns.

The PER ratio is one of the ratios commonly used to measure market prices for each common stock with earnings per share. This calculation is done by dividing the stock price on the stock by the earnings per share. PER is important because the amount of pro1ts generated by the company will actually determine the amount of dividends that the company will be able to pay later. If pro1t rises, there is a chance that dividends will rise. According to Petcharabul \& Romprasert (2014) Price Earning Ratio has a positive and signi1cant effect on stock returns. While the research conducted by Jatmiko (2015) shows that PER does not signi1cantly in2uence stock returns.

This research is very important to do in order to meet the expectations of investors, however, to meet these expectations is not easy because of many factors that in2uence these expectations. Therefore, research is expected to provide results according to these expectations, and for that,
strengthening of several 1 nancial variables is needed.

## Model Frame Equation

$\mathbf{Y}=+{ }_{1} \mathrm{MVA}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{ROA}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{NPM}+{ }_{4} \mathrm{PER}+\mathbf{e}$
de1nition:

## Table 1.

| Y | : Stock Return (Dependent Variable) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | : Constant |
| 1.2.3.4. | : KoeZsien |
| MVA, ROA, NPM, PER | : Independent Variables |
| e | $:$ error |

## Research method

This type of research is using quantitative research. Quantitative research is a type of research that uses numbers to examine the research. The form used is an associative form (Sugiyono, 2012).

Operational De1nition, Variable Identity and Variable Indicators

| Variables | Variables | Indicator | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market <br> Value Added <br> (MVA) | the difference between the market value of the equity of a company and the book value as presented in the balance sheet. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MVA } \\ & \text { = Stock Exchange Value } \\ & \text { - Stcok Holder Equity } \\ & =\text { (launched stock)x (stock price) } \\ & \text { - total common stock equity } \end{aligned}$ | Ratio |
| Return On Asset | effectiveness of the overall operation of the company in managing all wealth to generate profits. | $R O A=\frac{\text { Net Income }}{\text { Total Assets }}$ | Prosen |
| Net Profit <br> Margin <br> (NPM) | the ratio used to show the company's ability to generate net profits after tax. | $N P M=\frac{\text { NIAT }}{\text { Net Selling }}$ | Ratio |
| Price <br> Earning <br> Ratio (PER) | the comparison between the price of a stock, compared to net income or the estimated net profit earned from the stock within a year | $P E R=\frac{\text { Market Price per Share }}{\text { Earning per Share }}$ | Ratio |
| Return saham | the level of profit enjoyed by investors on a stock investment that they do. | $R_{t}=\frac{P_{t}-P_{t-1}}{P_{t-i}}$ | Ratio |

Figure 1. Operational De1nition Operational

Source : Brigham dan Houston, 2010
In this study, the population taken was food and beverage companies listed on the IDX. The sample in this study used a purposive sampling technique (Sugiyono, 2012).

The technique used in this research is documentation, by collecting data in the form of annual reports that have been published from 2012 to 2016 from the IDX website.

The analysis technique used is quantitative data analysis. The initial step of the classic assumption test carried out included the Normality Test of Multicollinearity Test, Heteroscedacity Test, Autocorrelation Test (Ghozali, 2006) (Widarjono, 2013) Widarjono (2009), after which tests were

Table 2. Company data included inthe sample

| No | Explanation | Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Food and Beverage Companies listed on the IDX | 16 |
| 2. | Food and beverage companies that do not distribute dividends during <br> the research period on the IDX in 2012-2016. | 5 |
| 3. | Food and Beverage Companies that do not complete their share prices <br> during the 2012-2016 study. | 3 |
| 4. $\quad$Food and Beverage Companies that do not publish 1nancial statements <br> in succession from 2012-2016. | 1 |  |
| Total included in the criteria |  |  |

conducted to estimate panel data regression models, including common effect models, 1xed effect models and random effect models. To estimate quantitatively the effect of several variables will be tested and veri1ed through F Test, t Test and Test of determination using the Eviews 9 program.

## Results

Table 3. Statistic Description

| Mean | 0.545104 | $8.09 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.146255 | 0.109985 | 25.65615 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median | 0.531786 | $4.38 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.089663 | 0.089387 | 23.00939 |
| Maximum | 0.986154 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.657201 | 0.328813 | 76.35575 |
| Minimum | 0.227438 | $7.24 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 0.008026 | 0.011076 | 3.214286 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.179380 | $8.59 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.144645 | 0.078794 | 16.54362 |
| Skewness | 0.575355 | 1.170030 | 1.711970 | 1.408928 | 1.502738 |
| Kurtosis | 3.052548 | 3.095775 | 5.826641 | 4.430253 | 5.807930 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jarque- | 1.935054 | 7.999034 | 28.74850 | 14.56283 | 24.67114 |
| Bera      <br> Probability 0.380022 0.018324 0.000001 0.000688 0.000004 <br> Sum 19.07863 $2.83 \mathrm{E}+14$ 5.118935 3.849488 897.9652 <br> Sum Sq. 1.094030 $2.51 \mathrm{E}+27$ 0.711353 0.211087 9305.502 <br> Dev.      <br>   35 35 35 35 <br> Observation35      |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Data Processed using Eviews 9
Classic Assumption Test

- Normality Test

Based on the analysis, Normality test using Karque-Bera (JB) test is as follows:
Source: Data Processed using Eviews 9
b. Multicollinearity

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
c. Heteroscedasticity

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
4. Autocorrelation

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
Analysis of Estimated Panel Data Models Test

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test

|  | CoeZcient | Uncentered | Centered |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Variance | VIF | VIF |
| C | 0.000509 | 5.579351 | NA |
| MVA_X1_ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 2.870747 | 1.500740 |
| ROA_X2_ $^{\text {RO_X }}$ | 0.026959 | 12.32882 | 6.006848 |
| NPM_X3_ | 0.087437 | 17.37660 | 5.781130 |
| PER_X4_ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.704557 | 1.065822 |

Table 5. Heteroscedasticity Test

| F-statistic | 0.875537 | Prob. F(4,30) | 0.4901 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obs*R-squared | 3.658727 | Prob. Chi-Square(4) | 0.4542 |
| Scaled explained SS | 1.726765 | Prob. Chi-Square(4) | 0.7859 |

Table 6. Autocorrelation Test

| R-squared | 0.078880 | Mean1.46E-16 <br> de- <br> pen- <br> dent <br> var |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.118503 | S.D. 0.053075 de-pen- <br> dent <br> var |
| S.E. of regression | 0.056132 | Akaike2. 745357 <br> info <br> cri- <br> te- <br> rion |
| Sum squared resid | 0.088223 | Schwalz434287 <br> cri- <br> te- <br> rion |
| Log likelihood | 55.04374 | Hanneß637975 <br> Quinn <br> criter. |
| F-statistic | 0.399628 | Durbia-013492 <br> Watson <br> stat |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.872900 |  |



Figure 2. Normality Test

1. Chow Test

Table 7. Chow test

| Effects Test | Statistic | d.f. | Prob. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cross-section F | 2.440626 | $(6,24)$ | 0.0551 |
| Cross-section Chi-square | 16.671600 | 6 | 0.0106 |

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9

Table 8. Hausman Test

| Test Summary | Chi-Sq. Statistic | Chi-Sq. d.f. | Prob. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cross-section random | 14.263803 | 4 | 0.0065 |

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
Hypothesis Test Result

1. T-test (Partially)

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
2. F-test (Simultaneously)

Source: Data processed usingEviews 9
3. Determinant CoeZcient ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ )

Source: Data processed using Eviews 9

## Discussion

Based on the results of the research that references data processing in accordance with the research title, research problems and hypotheses, there are several things that need to be explained, as follows:

## Effect of Market Value Adapted to Stock Returns

 Ha is rejected with the level of $\operatorname{Sig} 0.0004<0.05$ so that it can be interpreted that the variable variable value has a signi1cant and positive effect on Stock Return. So this shows that the market value shows an increase in return expectations that investors will receive through the company's stock price. The higher the stock price, the higher the expected return obtained. This statement is supported by research (Alexander \& Destriana, 2013) that MVA has a positive and signi1cant effect

Table 9. T-test (Partially)

| Variable | CoeZcient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.535772 | 0.071169 | 7.528180 | 0.0000 |
| MVA_X1_? | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 4.077968 | 0.0004 |
| ROA_X2_? | 0.924673 | 0.224574 | 4.117453 | 0.0004 |
| NPM_X3_? | -3.131173 | 0.627654 | -4.988696 | 0.0000 |
| PER_X4_? | 0.004567 | 0.001068 | 4.275589 | 0.0003 |
| Fixed Effects |  |  |  |  |
| (Cross) |  |  |  |  |
| AISA-C | -0.049230 |  |  |  |
| ALTO-C | -0.119707 |  |  |  |
| CEKA-C | -0.051391 |  |  |  |
| DLTA-C | -0.012822 |  |  |  |
| MLBI-C | 0.177507 |  |  |  |
| MYOR-C | 0.069938 |  |  |  |
| ROTI-C | -0.014295 |  |  |  |

Table 10. F-test (Simultaneously)

| R-squared | 0.945629 | Mean dependent var | 0.545104 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted R- | 0.922974 | S.D. dependent var | 0.179380 |
| squared |  |  |  |
| S.E. of <br> regression | 0.049784 | Akaike info criterion | -2.910952 |
| Sum <br> squared <br> resid | 0.059484 | Schwarz criterion | -2.422128 |
| Log likeli- <br> hood | 61.94166 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | -2.742210 |
| F-statistic <br> Prob(F- | 41.74100 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.501062 |
| statistic) | 0.000000 |  |  |

Table 11. Determinant Test ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline \text { R-squared } & 0.945629 & \text { Mean dependent var } & 0.545104 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Adjusted } \\ \text { squared }\end{array} & \text { R- } & 0.922974 & \text { S.D. dependent var }\end{array}\right) 0.179380$
on Stock Returns. This result is not relevant to the research (Nakhaei, 2016) that MVA does not signi1cantly in2uence Stock Return. This means that the management successfully controls the company's funds so that it gets large net cash 2ow and the company has been able to increase the company's wealth and shareholders or it can be said to be healthy, with increasing shareholder capital so that shareholder wealth will be maximized.

The Effect of Return on Assets, Price Earning Ratio, and Earning Per Share Jointlyon Stock Returns

Based on the results of these calculations, return on assets, price earnings ratio and earnings per share simultaneously (jointly) have an in2uence on Stock Return. This can be seen from, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {count }}$ $=7.528180>\mathrm{F}_{\text {Table }}=2.68$ then HO is accepted and Ha is rejected with the level of Sig $0.0000<0.05$, which means the independent variable market value is adjusted, return on assets, net pro1t margin and price earnings ratio simultaneously (joint) and has an in2uence on Stock Return.

## Conclusion

Based on the results of the research that has been conducted as well as the literature review and some data analysis, return stabilization and ful1llment of expectations require the following steps:

1. Improve Adedd Market Value, because the increasing market value added will positively and signi1cantly affect Stock Return.
2. Increasing Return On Assets, because the more remembering return on assets will have a positive and signi1cant effect on Stock Returns.
3. Increasing the value of Price Earning Ratio will have a positive and signi1cant effect on Stock Returns on Food and Bavarian Companies
4. Net Pro1t Margin partially has a negative and signi1cant effect on Stock Returns on Food and Bavarian Companies.
5. Market Value Adedd, Return On Asset, Net Pro1t Margin and Price Earning Ratio are tested simultaneously simultaneously signi1cantly in2uence stock returns. These results are evidenced by the results of the $F$ test simultaneously in2uencing the independent variable on the dependent variable.
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