International Scientific and Practical Conference Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service
Vol. 1 Issue 1 | pp. 108-115 **| ISSN:** XXXX-XXXX
Available online @ https://globalconf.net/index.php/samisi

Article

Artificial Intelligence in Uzbekistan's Banking Sector: Governance Challenges, Risk Management and the Future of Financial Services

Akrom A. Omonov*[1]

1. Prof. of Banking department, Tashkent State University of Economics
* Correspondence: akromomonov66@gmail.com

Abstract: Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming the global banking industry by creating new opportunities for improving operational efficiency, credit risk assessment, fraud detection, customer services and financial inclusion. For Uzbekistan, where banking sector reforms, financial digitalization and institutional modernization are ongoing, AI represents both an important technological opportunity and a challenge for financial governance. This study examines the potential applications of AI in Uzbekistan's banking sector, focusing on institutional governance, risk management and the future development of financial services. Drawing on institutional economics, banking risk management theory and international principles of responsible AI, the research employs a qualitative analytical approach based on regulatory frameworks, policy documents and comparative international experience. Particular attention is paid to algorithmic transparency, data protection, cybersecurity, supervisory capacity and the accountability of automated decision-making. The study argues that the effectiveness of AI adoption depends not only on technological investment but also on the quality of institutional governance, human oversight and risk management arrangements. It proposes an integrated AI governance framework for Uzbekistan's banking sector, combining regulatory coordination, internal model governance, data quality controls and consumer protection. The research contributes to discussions on financial sector transformation in emerging economies and the political economy of technological governance reforms in Central Asia.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Banking Sector; Uzbekistan; AI Governance; Credit Risk; Financial Stability; Digital Banking; Institutional Reform; Financial Inclusion.

Citation: Omonov, A. A. Artificial Intelligence in Uzbekistan's Banking Sector: Governance Challenges, Risk Management and the Future of Financial Services. International Scientific and Practical Conference Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service 2026, 1(1), 108-115.

Received: 12th Aug 2026
Revised: 26th Sept 2026
Accepted: 8th Oct 2026
Published: 21th Nov 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become an increasingly important driver of technological transformation in the global financial system. Its applications extend from customer service automation and financial forecasting to credit scoring, fraud detection, regulatory compliance and risk management. Machine learning algorithms can process large volumes of structured and unstructured information, identify complex patterns and support banking decisions that traditionally relied on manual analysis and conventional statistical methods. These developments create opportunities for improving the efficiency and accessibility of financial services while simultaneously introducing new technological, operational and institutional risks.

For emerging economies, the adoption of artificial intelligence is closely associated with broader processes of financial sector modernization. However, the effectiveness of technological transformation depends on more than the availability of digital

infrastructure. Institutional quality, regulatory capacity, data governance, financial literacy and the accountability of banking institutions are equally important. In countries undergoing structural economic reforms, these factors determine whether artificial intelligence contributes to sustainable financial development or creates additional vulnerabilities.

Uzbekistan provides an important case for examining these relationships. Since 2017, the country has implemented significant economic and financial reforms aimed at strengthening market mechanisms, improving financial intermediation and modernising the banking sector. The expansion of digital banking services, payment infrastructure and remote customer interactions has created a foundation for more advanced financial technologies.

An important policy milestone was the adoption of Presidential Resolution No. PQ-358 of 14 October 2024, approving the Strategy for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies until 2030. The strategy identifies banking and finance as priority areas, including the assessment of customer solvency, fraud prevention, financial risk management and the automation of banking services. It also provides for AI-related initiatives within the Central Bank and commercial banks with state participation.

Nevertheless, the implementation of AI in banking presents important governance challenges. Automated credit decisions may reproduce historical biases embedded in financial data, complex algorithms may lack transparency, and increased dependence on digital infrastructure may expose financial institutions to cybersecurity threats and operational disruptions. Furthermore, the introduction of AI-based financial services requires clear arrangements for accountability, consumer protection and regulatory supervision.

From the perspective of the POLCA research project, these challenges raise broader questions concerning the political economy of institutional and legal reform. The introduction of artificial intelligence does not occur independently of existing governance structures. Instead, technological innovation interacts with formal regulations, organizational practices, institutional incentives and the distribution of decision-making authority.

The purpose of this study is to examine the opportunities and governance challenges associated with AI adoption in Uzbekistan's banking sector, with particular emphasis on financial risk management, regulatory institutions and the future development of banking services. The central argument is that successful AI integration requires coordinated technological and institutional reforms supported by transparent governance and effective supervisory mechanisms.

2. Materials and Methods

The theoretical foundations of artificial intelligence in banking can be examined through three complementary perspectives: financial intermediation theory, institutional economics and risk governance.

Financial intermediation theory explains the role of banks in mobilizing savings, allocating financial resources and reducing information asymmetries between borrowers and lenders. Artificial intelligence may strengthen these functions by improving the processing of financial information and identifying relationships that conventional credit assessment models cannot easily capture. Machine learning techniques may be particularly useful in analyzing customer transaction histories, cash-flow patterns and other indicators of creditworthiness.

However, improved predictive performance does not necessarily guarantee better lending decisions. Models trained on incomplete or unrepresentative datasets may generate inaccurate assessments, while excessive reliance on automated systems can weaken human judgement and institutional accountability.

Institutional economics provides a second important perspective. North (1990) emphasizes that institutions shape economic behavior through formal rules, informal constraints and enforcement mechanisms. Applied to artificial intelligence in banking, this suggests that the outcomes of technological innovation depend on regulatory quality, organizational governance and the incentives influencing financial institutions.

This theoretical perspective is particularly relevant to Uzbekistan, where the banking sector has historically been characterized by significant state participation and is undergoing structural transformation. AI adoption may support more transparent and efficient decision-making, but its effectiveness will depend on the institutions responsible for implementing and supervising these technologies.

The third perspective concerns risk governance. The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2024) highlights that the digitalization of finance creates opportunities for banks while introducing risks associated with technology, operational resilience, third-party dependencies and supervisory capacity .

The effectiveness of artificial intelligence in banking is positively associated with the quality of institutional governance, regulatory oversight and organizational risk management capabilities.

This proposition provides a conceptual foundation for analyzing AI-driven banking transformation in Uzbekistan without assuming that technological adoption automatically improves financial stability.

3. Artificial Intelligence in Uzbekistan's Banking Sector

The integration of artificial intelligence into banking can be understood as a further stage of digital financial transformation. While conventional digital banking enables customers to access financial services electronically, AI introduces analytical capabilities that can support prediction, classification, automation and decision-making.

In Uzbekistan, the national AI Strategy to 2030 establishes policy priorities for AI applications in the banking and financial sectors. These include assessing customer solvency, improving banking services, preventing fraud and strengthening financial risk management..

3. Results and Discussion

Artificial Intelligence in Uzbekistan's Banking Sector

The integration of artificial intelligence into banking can be understood as a further stage of digital financial transformation. While conventional digital banking enables customers to access financial services electronically, AI introduces analytical capabilities that can support prediction, classification, automation and decision-making.

In Uzbekistan, the national AI Strategy to 2030 establishes policy priorities for AI applications in the banking and financial sectors. These include assessing customer solvency, improving banking services, preventing fraud and strengthening financial risk management.

Credit assessment and lending decisions

Traditional credit assessment generally relies on borrowers' financial statements, income information, credit histories, collateral and established scoring models. AI-based systems can potentially expand this analytical framework by identifying complex relationships among financial and behavioral variables.

For Uzbekistan's commercial banks, such technologies could improve the evaluation of small businesses and individual borrowers, particularly where conventional financial documentation is limited.

Nevertheless, the application of alternative data requires safeguards concerning privacy, relevance, discrimination and model explain ability. The use of additional

information should not automatically be interpreted as an improvement in credit assessment.

Fraud detection and financial security

Artificial intelligence can support fraud prevention through real-time transaction monitoring and the identification of unusual payment patterns. Machine learning models may help distinguish legitimate transactions from potentially fraudulent activities, allowing financial institutions to priorities suspicious cases for investigation.

For Uzbekistan, the development of AI-based fraud detection is explicitly supported by national policy. However, effective implementation requires high-quality transaction data, reliable information security systems and coordination between commercial banks and supervisory institutions.

Customer service and operational efficiency

AI-powered chatbots, virtual assistants and document-processing systems may improve the accessibility and efficiency of banking services. They can support routine customer inquiries, assist with document preparation and reduce the time required for certain administrative procedures.

These applications may be particularly useful for banks serving geographically dispersed populations. Nevertheless, customers must retain access to appropriate human assistance, especially when automated systems produce inaccurate information or when financial decisions have significant consequences.

Financial forecasting and management

AI techniques can potentially assist banks in forecasting liquidity requirements, analyzing customer behavior, identifying emerging credit risks and evaluating financial scenarios.

Such applications may strengthen management information systems and support more timely decisions. Their effectiveness, however, depends on data quality, model validation and the ability of banking professionals to interpret algorithmic outputs.

The distinction between digitalization and AI adoption is essential. The availability of mobile banking, electronic payments or automated transaction systems does not, by itself, demonstrate the deployment of artificial intelligence.

4Governance Challenges and Institutional Reform

The governance of artificial intelligence is among the most important issues facing financial institutions adopting advanced technologies. Banking is a highly regulated industry in which technological failures can affect consumers, financial institutions and potentially the wider financial system.

The first challenge concerns regulatory accountability. AI-supported decisions may involve several actors, including banks, technology providers, data processors and external service providers. Clear allocation of responsibility is therefore necessary to ensure that technological complexity does not weaken legal accountability.

The second challenge concerns algorithmic transparency and explainability. Some machine learning models produce highly complex decision processes that are difficult for customers, bank managers and regulators to interpret. In credit assessment, insufficient transparency can undermine consumer confidence and complicate the investigation of potentially unfair lending decisions.

The third challenge involves data governance and personal information protection. AI systems depend on substantial quantities of information, making data accuracy, confidentiality and lawful processing central governance concerns. Inadequate data controls can expose banks to privacy violations, cybersecurity incidents and unreliable analytical outcomes.

The fourth challenge is institutional capacity. Effective AI governance requires qualified specialists in banking regulation, data science, cybersecurity, model validation

and financial risk management. Supervisory institutions must also develop the expertise needed to evaluate AI-related risks.

Finally, state ownership and organizational governance deserve particular attention in Uzbekistan. Banks with significant state participation may face different institutional incentives, procurement arrangements and organizational constraints from privately owned financial institutions. These differences could influence the speed, effectiveness and accountability of AI implementation.

From a political economy perspective, the key question is whether technological modernization is accompanied by corresponding changes in institutional practices, regulatory enforcement and managerial responsibility.

The international regulatory experience provides useful reference points. The European Union's AI Act adopts a risk-based approach and identifies certain AI systems used to evaluate individuals' creditworthiness as high-risk, subject to specified exceptions. Although this legislation does not directly govern Uzbekistan's domestic banking system, its approach offers a useful comparative framework for developing risk-proportionate regulatory safeguards.

Artificial Intelligence and Banking Risk Management

Risk management represents one of the most promising applications of artificial intelligence in commercial banking. However, AI should be evaluated both as a tool for managing existing financial risks and as a potential source of new risks.

Risk category	Potential AI application	Principal governance challenge
Credit risk	Predictive credit scoring and early warning systems	Bias, explainability and model validation
Liquidity risk	Cash-flow forecasting and liquidity stress analysis	Forecasting errors and changing market conditions
Operational risk	Process monitoring and anomaly detection	System failures and excessive automation
Fraud risk	Suspicious transaction detection	False positives, data quality and accountability
Cybersecurity risk	Threat identification and automated monitoring	Adversarial attacks and third-party dependencies
Compliance risk	Transaction monitoring and regulatory reporting support	Data protection and auditability

Credit risk management

AI-based credit risk models may improve the identification of borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. By analyzing historical repayment patterns and financial indicators, machine learning systems can support early warning mechanisms and more differentiated credit risk assessments.

However, model accuracy must be evaluated using appropriate out-of-sample testing, stability analysis and independent validation. A model that performs well on historical data may become unreliable when macroeconomic conditions change.

Liquidity and operational risk

AI-assisted forecasting may support liquidity planning by identifying patterns in deposit withdrawals, loan repayments and payment transactions. Such systems could complement conventional liquidity risk management tools, including the Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Net Stable Funding Ratio.

AI forecasting should not replace prudential requirements or established stress-testing procedures. Instead, it should serve as an additional analytical instrument within the bank's risk management framework.

Operationally, AI may help detect unusual activities and reduce manual processing errors. At the same time, dependence on complex systems and external technology providers may create concentration risks and operational vulnerabilities.

Model risk and algorithmic accountability

The introduction of AI creates a distinct need for model risk management. Banks should establish procedures covering model development, documentation, independent validation, monitoring, periodic review and withdrawal.

Particular attention should be given to algorithmic drift, where the statistical relationships underlying a model change over time, reducing its reliability.

Human oversight remains essential for high-impact financial decisions. AI systems should support accountable banking professionals rather than create decision-making processes for which no institution or individual accepts responsibility.

The Future of AI-Driven Financial Services in Uzbekistan

The future development of artificial intelligence in Uzbekistan's banking sector will depend on the interaction between technological innovation, institutional reform and financial market development. AI may contribute to more efficient financial intermediation, greater access to banking services and improved risk management. However, these potential benefits require a coordinated approach to technological and institutional transformation.

One promising direction is the development of intelligent financial services for households and small and medium-sized enterprises. AI-assisted credit assessment could improve banks' ability to evaluate borrowers with limited conventional credit histories. Similarly, personalized financial services may help customers manage their finances more effectively.

A second direction concerns the modernization of prudential supervision. Supervisory technology (SupTech) can potentially support the Central Bank of Uzbekistan in analyzing financial indicators, identifying emerging vulnerabilities and monitoring compliance with regulatory requirements. Such applications should complement rather than replace professional supervisory judgement[1].

A third direction is the development of institutional cooperation among regulators, commercial banks, universities and technology providers. Academic institutions can contribute through research, specialist training, model evaluation and the development of responsible AI governance principles.

Proposed AI Governance Framework for Uzbekistan

Based on the preceding analysis, this study proposes a five-component framework for responsible AI integration into the banking sector.

Component	Proposed institutional measures	Intended outcome
Regulatory governance	Risk-based AI supervision, clear accountability and regulatory guidance	Greater legal certainty
Bank-level governance	AI governance committees, model inventories and independent validation	Stronger organisational accountability
Data governance	Data quality standards, privacy protection and secure information processing	Reliable and lawful AI applications
Risk management	Continuous model monitoring, stress testing and human oversight	Improved operational resilience
Institutional capacity	Specialist training, regulatory cooperation and research partnerships	Sustainable AI development

[1] Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2026). Annual Report 2025. Tashkent: Central Bank of Uzbekistan. Central Bank annual reports.

The proposed framework recognizes that AI governance cannot be reduced to technical standards alone. It must also address organizational incentives, supervisory independence, institutional accountability and the protection of financial consumers.

These considerations are particularly relevant to the POLCA project's interest in the relationship between formal institutional reforms and their practical implementation in non-Western societies.

Discussion and Policy Implications

The analysis suggests that the transformation of Uzbekistan's banking sector through artificial intelligence should be understood as both a technological and institutional process.

From a technological perspective, AI offers opportunities to improve banking operations, credit assessment, fraud prevention and customer services. From an institutional perspective, these innovations require effective regulatory arrangements, transparent decision-making and stronger organizational governance.

This distinction is particularly important for countries undergoing financial sector reforms. The introduction of advanced technologies does not automatically eliminate existing institutional weaknesses. In some circumstances, poorly governed AI systems may reproduce or intensify problems associated with information asymmetry, weak accountability and inadequate risk controls.

Accordingly, Uzbekistan's banking authorities and commercial banks should priorities the development of AI governance arrangements alongside technological investment.

The Central Bank could consider establishing more detailed supervisory expectations for AI model risk management, algorithmic accountability and third-party technology providers, taking account of existing national legislation and international supervisory principles.

Commercial banks should develop internal policies for model validation, data protection, human oversight and the monitoring of automated decisions. Particular attention should be given to AI systems affecting credit access, financial security and customer rights.

The government could also encourage collaboration among financial institutions, universities and research organizations to strengthen national expertise in AI-related financial technologies.

For the POLCA research agenda, these policy issues illustrate how the effectiveness of formal technological reforms depends on institutional implementation, organizational incentives and governance capacity.

4. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence represents an important opportunity for the modernisation of Uzbekistan's banking sector. Its potential applications in credit assessment, fraud prevention, financial forecasting, customer services and risk management could contribute to greater efficiency and improved financial intermediation.

Nevertheless, technological innovation also introduces new challenges involving algorithmic transparency, cybersecurity, data protection, model reliability and institutional accountability.

The study argues that the successful adoption of AI in Uzbekistan's banking sector depends on the development of effective governance arrangements combining regulatory oversight, responsible technological implementation, independent model validation and professional capacity building.

The principal scientific contribution of the thesis is its proposed institutional governance framework, which connects AI-driven banking transformation with the broader political economy of legal and institutional reform.

For Uzbekistan, the priority should not simply be to accelerate the introduction of artificial intelligence, but to ensure that its implementation contributes to financial stability, consumer protection and sustainable banking development.

Future empirical research should examine differences in AI adoption among state-owned and privately owned commercial banks and evaluate the effects of AI applications on banking efficiency, credit risk and financial inclusion.

REFERENCES

[1] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2024). Digitalisation of Finance. Bank for International Settlements, Basel. Official publication.

[2] Central Bank of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2026). Annual Report 2025. Tashkent: Central Bank of Uzbekistan. Central Bank annual reports.

[3] European Parliament and Council of the European Union (2024). Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act). Official Journal of the European Union.

[4] Financial Stability Board (2017). Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Financial Services: Market Developments and Financial Stability Implications. Basel: Financial Stability Board.

[5] North, D.C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[6] OECD (2024). OECD AI Principles. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris.

[7] President of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2024). On Approval of the Strategy for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies until 2030. Resolution No. PQ-358, 14 October 2024. Official legal text.

[8] World Bank (2022). World Development Report 2022: Finance for an Equitable Recovery. Washington, DC: World Bank.