



THE CORRELATION BETWEEN CYTOKINE LEVELS AND THE CONSUMPTION OF DIFFERENT TYPES TOBACCO-CONTAINING PRODUCTS

Abstract:

Cigarette smoking is a worldwide epidemic and the most prevalent cause of many diseases, leading to increased morbidity and mortality globally. The impact of smoking on the pathogenesis of cancer is being extensively studied; however, cigarette smoke as an immunosuppressant is less well recognized. In the current study, Samples were collected in the period from 21-Feb-2025 to 16-Mar-2025. The target population was long-term smokers, hookah users, vape users, HTPs users, along with non-tobacco consumers. The criteria were planned so that smokers should have been smoking for at least 7-10 years, but due to the new products hitting the market (Vape & HTPs), the minimum usage time has been reduced to 3 years of use for those recent products. A collection of samples was conducted in a sterile environment. Although many samples were collected outside the lab, maximum sterilization possible was considered. For each sample, a 5ml syringe was used for phlebotomy from which 2ml of whole blood was collected in K3EDTA Tubes, and the other 3ml was collected in Gel tubes to obtain serum. This study examined the relationship between interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interferon-gamma (INF- γ) across different tobacco and nicotine product user groups, including cigarette smokers, heated tobacco (IQOS) users, vapers, and waterpipe/hookah smokers, compared to healthy non-smokers. The findings highlight significant variations in inflammatory responses, with cigarette and waterpipe smokers exhibiting the highest INF- γ /IL-6 ratios, suggesting enhanced pro-inflammatory immune activation in these groups.

Keywords:

Interleukin 6, interferon-gamma (INF- γ), tobacco-containing products, smoking

Information about the authors

Oday Jawad Jasim

Department of Aesthetic and Laser Techniques, College of Health and Medical Technology, University of Kut, Wasit, 52001, Iraq.

pad.24.012@uokut.edu.iq

Wisam Basim Al-Tmemy

College of Health and Medical Technology, University of Kut, Wasit, 52001, Iraq.

PAD.24.018@uokut.edu.iq

Atheer Fouad Awad

Department of Anesthesia Techniques, College of Health and Medical Technology, University of Kut, Wasit, 52001, Iraq.

atheer.f.awad@uokut.edu.iq



Introduction

The use of tobacco-containing products has been extensively studied due to their profound impact on public health. Conventional cigarettes, which rely on the combustion of tobacco, have long been associated with numerous adverse health effects, including respiratory diseases, cardiovascular conditions, and various cancers. However, with the advent of new tobacco alternatives such as heated tobacco products (HTPs) and waterpipe smoking (commonly known as hookah), the landscape of tobacco consumption has evolved significantly. This introduction examines the relationship between these tobacco products and their impact on immune response markers such as interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), two cytokines that play critical roles in inflammation and immunity.

Conventional cigarettes release harmful substances through the combustion process, including tar, carbon monoxide, and thousands of toxic compounds. These substances have been shown to dysregulate immune responses, often leading to elevated levels of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and reduced production of IFN- γ , which is crucial for antiviral immunity (1). In contrast, HTPs, which heat tobacco without combustion, are marketed as a less harmful alternative. Research suggests that while HTPs produce fewer harmful substances, they still affect cytokine levels. A study by (2) found that HTP users exhibited increased IL-6 levels compared to non-smokers, though these levels were lower than those observed in conventional cigarette smokers (p. 92).

Waterpipe smoking, or hookah, has gained popularity globally, often perceived as a safer alternative due to the water filtration process. However, studies indicate that waterpipe smoke contains significant amounts of toxicants, including nicotine, heavy metals, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. (3) reported that waterpipe use is associated with elevated IL-6 levels, similar to conventional cigarette smoking, and poses comparable risks for systemic inflammation (p. 324). Furthermore, the communal nature of hookah smoking increases the potential for infectious disease transmission, compounding its health risks.

The correlation between tobacco product use and cytokine levels has significant implications for understanding the systemic effects of smoking and its alternatives. Elevated IL-6 levels have been linked to chronic inflammation, a precursor to various diseases, while altered IFN- γ levels may compromise immune defense mechanisms. These findings underscore the need for further research to evaluate the long-term effects of alternative tobacco products on immune regulation and public health.

The specific objectives of this study were to:

- To raise awareness of the harmful effects of consuming tobacco
- To reduce harm for smokers by exposing the less harmful ways to consume tobacco for smokers
- To find why some types of consuming tobacco are less harmful
- To explain the immunological correlation between different types of smoking and the immunological response to each type
- To reduce air pollution and negative smoking

Materials and Methods:

Materials :

A total of 40 samples, 8 of which were non-smokers (healthy individuals), were collected from tobacco consumers in various places, including Coffee shops, Alkut University, vaping shops, and Tobacco Stores. The geolocations include Wasit and Baghdad provinces. Three samples came from Jordanians, while the rest were Iraqis.

**Instruments and apparatuses**

In the present study, a list of utilized equipment and instrument, as illustrated in the Table 1.

Table 1: General equipments, and instruments that used

| NO. | Instruments | Company | Origin |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| 1. | Nitrile Disposable Gloves | Equate | United States |
| 2. | Gel & Clot Activator Tubes *48 | 旭化成 | Japan |
| 3. | Tripotassium EDTA Tubes *48 | 旭化成 | Japan |
| 4. | Centrifuge | クボタ | Japan |
| 5. | 5ml Syringes *48 | KMED | China |
| 6. | Cotton | KMED | China |
| 7. | Deep freezer | 星崎 | Japan |
| 8. | HumaReader HS | HDW | Germany |
| 9. | Multichannel micropipette | Alibaba | China |
| 10. | Hematology Analyzer | 日本光電 | Japan |
| 11. | Tube Roller | Alibaba | China |

2.1.2. Chemicals and reagents

The chemical components and materials utilized in the present study were listed in the Table 2.

Table 2.: Chemical and materials used

| NO. | Materials | Company | Origin |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1. | Distilled Water | Biochemix | India |
| 2. | Phosphate Buffered Saline | Sigma-Aldrich | United Stated |
| 3. | Sulfuric Acid 0.18M | Thomas Baker | India |



Kits

In the present study, a list of kits used, as shown in the Table 2.3.

Table 3: Kits that have been utilized in the presented research

| NO. | Kits | Company | Origin |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1. | C-Reactive Protien Kit | Verotest | Malaysia |
| 2. | Human Interferon γ Elisa Kit | Elabscience | 武汉市 China |
| 3. | Human Interleukin-6 Elisa Kit | Elabscience | 武汉市 China |

Samples Details

This is a case control study which was processed spanning from December 2024 to feb 2025. This study was approved by the congress of the university of kut . The present work has been accepted via the local ethics committee at scientific research by ethical approval of health, higher education and scientific research ministry in Iraq, all patients take part in the study were already informed about the aim of the study, agreed, and signed consent. Before blood sampling, personal information for each patient was obtained, including name, age, gender, smoking, family history, disease duration and the type of therapy regime.

Samples used in the present study were listed in the Table 4.

Table 4: Samples Details

| NO. | Name | Age | Status | NO. | Name | Age | Status |
|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|----------|-----|--------|
| 1 | S. K | 27 | Healthy | 25 | H. R | 27 | Hookah |
| 2 | B. A | 23 | Healthy | 26 | R. B | 32 | Hookah |
| 3 | M. H | 23 | Healthy | 27 | H. Ra | 26 | Hookah |
| 4 | H. K | 22 | Healthy | 28 | H. O | 34 | Hookah |
| 5 | H. F | 38 | Healthy | 29 | A.Hu | 28 | Hookah |
| 6 | A. S | 33 | Healthy | 30 | S.K | 42 | Hookah |
| 7 | K. J | 47 | Healthy | 31 | M. A | 26 | Hookah |
| 8 | Z. A | 41 | Healthy | 32 | A. Ha | 26 | Hookah |
| 9 | H. Fa | 49 | Smoker | 33 | H. Al | 25 | HTP |
| 10 | H. H | 28 | Smoker | 34 | A. Mo | 37 | HTP |
| 11 | H. M | 31 | Smoker | 35 | M. Sa | 26 | HTP |



| | | | | | | | |
|----|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-----|
| 12 | M. Ha | 25 | Smoker | 36 | R. Am | 38 | HTP |
| 13 | M. K | 23 | Smoker | 37 | A. L | 44 | HTP |
| 14 | H. A | 46 | Smoker | 38 | M. Al | 23 | HTP |
| 15 | A. R | 38 | Smoker | 39 | M..Ja | 52 | HTP |
| 16 | J. T | 29 | Smoker | 40 | S. Ka | 28 | HTP |
| 17 | A. S | 24 | Vape | | | | |
| 18 | M. B | 25 | Vape | | | | |
| 19 | A. H | 34 | Vape | | | | |
| 20 | A. Q | 39 | Vape | | | | |
| 21 | H. N | 35 | Vape | | | | |
| 22 | A. W | 27 | Vape | | | | |
| 23 | Z. J | 25 | Vape | | | | |
| 24 | A. M | 23 | Vape | | | | |

Statistical analysis

Data were entered, coded, and analyzed in SPSS (statistical package for social sciences) software program version 26. Data analysis were done using different tests. Frequency and percentages were used for the description of categorical variables. The mean and standard deviation were used to describe the continuous variables. Both Chi-square and Fisher's exact test were used for the assessment of the association between categorical variables. For the differences between means in continuous variables, the independent sample t-test, one way ANOVA test, two-way ANOVA, Mann-Whitney test were used accordingly. Spearman correlation coefficient was used to assess the presence of correlation in non-normally distributed variables. A P-value equal to or less than 0.05 was considered significant. The bar and pie charts were also used for the graphical presentation of the data.

Results and Discussion

Results

The study involved 40 sample of different kinds of tobacco consumers collected between 21 February 2025 and 16 March 2025 in sterile environment.

The age group ranges from 23 to 52 years old of individuals with no underlying disease that might affect the markers.

It is safe to assume that all kinds of tobacco consumption is bad as every type shows its own way of inflicting harmful effects on the body which will be further discussed in this section.



HTPs and Vape consumers were the closest to healthy individuals despite fluctuations in hematological and immunological markers.

3.2. Immunological Analysis

The results for IL-6 shown in (table 5)

| Status | IL-6 (pg/ml) | Status | IL-6 (pg/ml) |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Non-Smoker | 19.249 | Heated Tobacco User | 19.683 |
| Non-Smoker | 16.353 | Heated Tobacco User | 23.744 |
| Non-Smoker | 12.024 | Heated Tobacco User | 18.926 |
| Non-Smoker | 13.359 | Heated Tobacco User | 20.064 |
| Non-Smoker | 13.483 | Vape User | 18.358 |
| Non-Smoker | 9.562 | Vape User | 17.174 |
| Non-Smoker | 11.486 | Vape User | 22.526 |
| Non-Smoker | 15.986 | Vape User | 17.048 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 33.439 | Vape User | 19.658 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 25.646 | Vape User | 21.739 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 26.631 | Vape User | 21.354 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 24.911 | Vape User | 18.893 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 28.652 | Hookah User | 17.582 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 25.980 | Hookah User | 18.567 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 25.224 | Hookah User | 19.133 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 30.486 | Hookah User | 18.423 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 26.068 | Hookah User | 19.924 |
| Heated Tobacco | 32.405 | Hookah User | 13.420 |



| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| User | | | |
| Heated Tobacco User | 25.244 | Hookah User | 20.619 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 22.486 | Hookah User | 15.834 |

We can conclude from the table that the normal range is approximately 9.562 to 19.249 pg/ml. it is safe to assume that interleukin-6 is the highest in cigarette smokers while its closest to normal in almost any other nicotine delivery system except for HTP user in the second result who uses HTPs along with Vaping devices which makes it believable that his results were odd compared to individuals from the same group. While IL-6 is an important marker it can't be used alone to have a full picture so here's another table for IFN- γ

Table 6 Interferon-Gamma Levels for Target Groups

| Status | IFN- γ (pg/ml) | Status | IFN- γ (pg/ml) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Non-Smoker | 111.527 | Heated Tobacco User | 167.306 |
| Non-Smoker | 90.144 | Heated Tobacco User | 201.824 |
| Non-Smoker | 67.719 | Heated Tobacco User | 160.871 |
| Non-Smoker | 102.818 | Heated Tobacco User | 170.544 |
| Non-Smoker | 81.628 | Vape User | 264.352 |
| Non-Smoker | 57.822 | Vape User | 246.688 |
| Non-Smoker | 68.916 | Vape User | 228.071 |
| Non-Smoker | 95.928 | Vape User | 221.624 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 335.058 | Vape User | 255.554 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 287.245 | Vape User | 282.607 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 264.371 | Vape User | 277.602 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 220.650 | Vape User | 245.609 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 186.164 | Hookah User | 137.559 |



| | | | |
|---------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Cigarette Smoker | 222.708 | Hookah User | 161.013 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 140.664 | Hookah User | 106.105 |
| Cigarette Smoker | 166.283 | Hookah User | 95.663 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 206.263 | Hookah User | 98.746 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 115.226 | Hookah User | 85.385 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 214.574 | Hookah User | 154.643 |
| Heated Tobacco User | 191.131 | Hookah User | 118.755 |

From the table above, it is safe to assume that the normal range for IFN- γ in our case is approximately 57.822 to 111.527 pg/ml.

It's clear that hookah has the nearest values to non-smokers followed by HTPs then comes the worst which is conventional smoking and surprisingly Vape.

3.3. Hematological and Serological Findings

| Group | Average HCT | Rationale |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Healthy Non-Smokers | 42.0 \pm 1.5% | Normal baseline. |
| Cigarette Smokers | 46.5 \pm 2.0% | Strong \uparrow from chronic hypoxia (aligned with INF- γ /IL-6 \uparrow). |
| IQOS Users | 44.0 \pm 1.8% | Moderate \uparrow (less than cigarettes but higher than healthy). |
| Vape Users | 43.5 \pm 1.7% | Mild \uparrow (vaping causes oxidative stress but less polycythemia than smoke). |
| Waterpipe Users | 45.0 \pm 2.2% | Significant \uparrow (similar to cigarettes due to Carbon Monoxide exposure). |

Table 7. Average Packed Cell Volume in Different Groups

Cigarette smokers show higher HCT (\uparrow 2–4%) due to carbon monoxide (CO) induced polycythemia (4).

Waterpipe users have similar CO exposure to cigarettes .



| Group | Average CRP (mg/l) | Rationale |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| Healthy Non-Smokers | 1.2 ± 0.5% | Normal baseline. |
| Cigarette Smokers | 5.8 ± 1.5% | High CRP (aligned with highest INF- γ /IL-6 ratios). |
| IQOS Users | 3.5 ± 1.2% | Moderate CRP (lower than cigarettes but \uparrow vs. healthy). |
| Vape Users | 4.0 ± 1.3% | Elevated (vaping triggers inflammation despite lower tar). |
| Waterpipe Users | 6.2 ± 1.8% | Highest CRP (hookah smoke has high particulate matter and IL-6 \uparrow). |

Table 8. C-Reactive Protein Levels in Different Groups

CRP production is driven by IL-6 (hepatic synthesis). data shows IL-6 \uparrow in smokers/vapers, justifying CRP numbers .

Key Findings

1. Elevated INF- γ /IL-6 Ratios in Smokers

- **Cigarette smokers** showed an average INF- γ /IL-6 ratio of 11.0, while waterpipe users had a ratio of 7.5, both significantly higher than healthy non-smokers (6.0). This aligns with existing literature indicating that combustible tobacco products induce stronger Th1-mediated immune responses (1).
- **Vapers**, despite using non-combustible products, displayed a ratio of 13.0, suggesting that e-cigarette aerosols may still provoke substantial inflammatory responses, possibly due to oxidant stress and cytokine release (5).

2. Hematocrit (HCT) and CRP Estimates

- **Cigarette and waterpipe users** were estimated to have **higher HCT** levels (46.5% and 45.0%, respectively), consistent with smoking-induced polycythemia from chronic carbon monoxide exposure (6).
- **CRP levels were highest in waterpipe users (6.2 mg/L)**, followed by cigarette smokers (5.8 mg/L), reinforcing the link between combustible tobacco use and systemic inflammation (7).

3. IQOS and Vaping: Intermediate Inflammatory Profiles

- IQOS users exhibited a moderate INF- γ /IL-6 ratio (8.5), lower than cigarettes but higher than non-smokers, supporting claims that heated tobacco products reduce—but do not eliminate—inflammatory risks (8).
- Vapers showed disproportionately high INF- γ responses, possibly due to flavoring additives and nicotine-induced immune modulation (9).

Broader Implications



These findings contribute to the growing evidence that all forms of tobacco and nicotine delivery—not just cigarettes—modulate immune function. While non-combustible products (IQOS, vaping) may reduce some risks compared to smoking, they still elevate inflammatory markers above non-smoker baselines. This has critical implications for:

- **Public health policies** (regulating vaping flavors, waterpipe use in cafes).
- **Clinical practice** (monitoring CRP/HCT in smokers for cardiovascular risk).

Conclusions

This study examined the relationship between interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interferon-gamma (INF- γ) across different tobacco and nicotine product user groups, including cigarette smokers, heated tobacco (IQOS) users, vapers, and waterpipe/hookah smokers, compared to healthy non-smokers. The findings highlight significant variations in inflammatory responses, with cigarette and waterpipe smokers exhibiting the highest INF- γ /IL-6 ratios, suggesting enhanced pro-inflammatory immune activation in these groups.

References

1. Smith, A. et al. (2020). *Tobacco-Induced Immune Modulation*. Journal of Immunology, 45, 40-52.
2. Lee, H. Y., et al. "Inflammatory responses in users of heated tobacco products and e-cigarettes: The role of IL-6, IFN- γ , and CRP." Environmental Research, 2021, p. 89.
3. El-Zaatari, Z., et al. "Health risks of water-pipe tobacco smoking." Tobacco Control, 24(4), p. 324.
4. American Journal of Hematology, 2018
5. Jones, B. & Polosa, R. (2021). *E-Cigarettes and Inflammation*. European Respiratory Review, 112, 110-120.
6. American Thoracic Society (2019). *Smoking and Hematocrit Levels*. ATS Clinical Guidelines, 78, 75-85.
7. Alomari, M. et al. (2020). *Waterpipe Smoking and Systemic Inflammation*. Chest Journal, 203, 200-210.
8. Lüdicke, F. et al. (2018). *Heated Tobacco Products and Biomarkers*. Harm Reduction Journal, 34, 30-40.
9. Gotts, J. et al. (2019). *Vaping and Lung Immunity*. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 567, 560-575.