

The Impact of Positive Reinforcement on Behavioral Regulation in Young Children

Airin Parvin

Independent Researcher, Master of Arts in Education, University of Findlay, Ohio, USA

Md Sharif Khan

Independent Researcher, Master of Arts in Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages and Applied Linguistics (TESOL), University of Findlay, Ohio

Abstract: In this paper, using a synthetic data set that emulates early childhood development measures, we evaluate the relative impact of positive reinforcement on young children's behavioral regulation. Behavioral psychology puts much reliance on positive reinforcement, which builds positive actions through incentives and positive reinforcement. The research looks at the dataset of variables such as screen time, playtime, hours of sleep, parental education, and cognitive scores to determine correlations between reinforcement behavior and children's behavioral outcomes. Using statistical methods and machine learning tools, explored the correlation between positive reinforcement associated factors such increased play time and reduced screen use, and the resultant impact on cognitive, behavioral outcome in young children. Findings of the key study support such robust relationships between superior cognitive outcomes and parenting styles based around positive reinforcement, such as promotion of good sleep hygiene, heightened parental involvement, and structured play experiences. The ones who profited from a regulated daily routine, good screen use, and interesting educational experiences demonstrated increased behavioral control and cognitive development. The findings support the prevailing insight about the utility of positive reinforcement as a strategy in encouraging successful education and the management of children's behavioral aspects during the early years. It manifests that the environmental and parental factors have quite a strong impact on development, which makes it important to look for the educational and parenting approaches that can focus on positive behavioral interventions. Ethical aspects of the research are reviewed: artificiality of the data's origin and its similarity to real-life situations. The findings obtained from this study can be useful to educate, policy makers, as well as psychologists and parents in the application of effective strategies that could influence positive behavioral development through continuous positive involvement with young children.

Key points: Positive Reinforcement, Behavioral Regulation, Early Childhood Development, Cognitive Growth, Parental Involvement and Developmental Psychology.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

During early childhood, rapid development of cognitive, emotional, and social skills takes place, setting the prerequisite for continuous learning and-social interaction. As they grow, young children form groundwork in self-regulation, understanding of emotion, and social action all vital to academic and social success. Some early childhood problems (impulsivity, defiance, or attention problems) can reduce learning possibilities and damage psychological development if not properly addressed. The areas of educational psychology and child development affirm the importance of supportive atmosphere, directed teaching, and gradual behavioral directions for nurturing balanced

children [1]. Known as an important approach to bolstering prosocial growth and self-regulation, positive reinforcement rewarding children's good behavior with praises or rewards has emerged as a key component of educational and development programs. There is increasing interest in the discourse between parents, educators, and psychologists regarding the effect of reinforcement techniques on children's behavioral responses, learning and flexibility, in both structured and unstructured environments. Although there are numerous interventions aimed at promoting child growth, strategies relying on positive reinforcement are particularly attractive due to their soft, supportive, and research-based nature. Developed from synthesized data that reflect a wide variety of childhood traits, this investigation builds upon existing knowledge of positive reinforcement and its impact on behavior management with an importance placed on the earlier influence of social factors for long-term outcomes.

1.2 The concept of Behavioral Regulation in relation to Young Children

Young children exhibit behavioral regulation in the following ways: learning to manage their emotions, fighting the urge to act impulsively, listen to instructions in manners that are required by the environment, and act in accordance with norms and behaviors expected. The role it plays is major within the wider field of executive function, involving working memory and cognitive flexibility. When children display good behavioral regulation, they can hold on, flow effortlessly from one activity to another and handle disputes with eloquence, all factors that contribute to their academic as well as social success [2]. Trajectory of abilities in these areas unfold at the toddler level and are maintained up to early childhood, much affected by a child's inherent disposition and social and family environment; including strategies of parenting and routines in the family as well as educational activities. Children with high levels of behavioral regulation in their behaviors are more likely to succeed in their classroom settings and be socially connected to their peers, while those struggling with self-control may struggle in the future with academic setbacks, or behavioral problems, respectively. Self-regulation is a process that develops with the help of targeted regulation, that is, with guidance like supportive exchanges and feedback to develop skill. Modern developments in the field of development emphasize the role of adult behavior modeling, emotional guidance, and reinforcement techniques in the creation of self-regulatory abilities. Underpinning the creation of early childhood programs is a deep appreciation of behavioral regulation, which will promote the development of emotional intelligence, resilience, and adaptability in children from early life.

1.3 Introduce Positive Reinforcement

The operant conditioning theory is set behind positive reinforcement that magnifies desirable behaviors by adding a pleasant consequence directly after the behavior takes place. This approach has wide usage in early years schooling and psychology to foster cooperation, persistence, and emotional control. As opposed to punitive measures, positive reinforcement involves encouraging the continuation of preferred behaviors by rewarding the child with verbal encouragement, material rewards or further occasion for play, or it may involve positive social feedback [3]. The practice is based upon the following assumption, that is when children receive positive outcomes from their actions, they become more likely to have internal motivators to continue the same behaviors. Teachers use positive reinforcement techniques to control students' behaviors, promote their renditions, and relate learning to individual goals. Positive reinforcement in parenting helps to provide routines, maintain healthy habits and establish secure relationships because good behaviors are often brought out regularly. Positive reinforcement is the most effective because of its real-time feedback, allowing kids to understand the relation of their actions to the result they obtain. Besides, it creates a positive environment where the children find themselves safe, loved and free in growing up [4]. The paper looks at how through consistent application behavioral regulation is established in young children with the aid of positive reinforcement.

1.4 Justify Why Early Intervention is Critical

Early intervention is vital in supporting healthy behavioral and cognitive development in children because the early years represent a period of extraordinary neuroplasticity—the brain's capacity to

form and reorganize synaptic connections in response to learning and environmental input. foundational behavioral patterns are established, and children are particularly responsive to external cues and guidance [4]. Intervening early allows caregivers and educators to address emerging challenges before they solidify into long-term behavioral issues. Evidence-based practices such as positive reinforcement, when introduced in early childhood, can significantly influence emotional regulation, social behavior, and learning trajectories. Early interventions can also mitigate developmental risks associated with factors like low parental engagement, excessive screen time, or inadequate sleep, all of which may impair self-regulation. Research consistently shows that children who receive timely behavioral support are more likely to succeed academically, develop healthy peer relationships, and exhibit fewer conduct problems later in life [5]. Early intervention reduces the need for more intensive and costly remediation in adolescence or adulthood. Initiating behavioral support strategies, including reinforcement-based models, during early childhood capitalizes on a critical window of opportunity to shape positive developmental outcomes and support children's full potential in both academic and social domains.

1.5 Describe the Objective and principal hypothesis

This study seeks to examine how the positive reinforcement variables relate to behavioral regulation for young children in analyzing a synthetic dataset that captures realistic aspects of development. Specifically, the study will research on how such variables as sleep exposure, playtime, screen time, and parental education are related to cognitive scores; which, is a substitute metric to measure behavioral regulation [5]. The project is to examine if consistent participation in classes based on reinforcement, such as structured routines and active parental involvement, results in better cognitive performance and behavioral achievements in kids. The study assumes that children in environments characterized by low screen exposure, ample playtime, and increased sleep lengths and high parental education levels will have high cognitive scores and better behavioral regulation. Using statistical tools and data visualization tools we want to find out and assess the trends and patterns in our data that corroborate with or contradict this hypothesis [6]. It is primarily through researching this project to create a basis for early methodologies in terms of education focusing on positive reinforcements which are viable for both educational and domestic purposes. Furthermore, the investigation seeks to show how such active behavioral tactics can allow children to achieve emotional control, maintain classroom engagement, and fulfill success in the long run.

1.6 Problem Statement

Despite it being well understood that early childhood development holds immense value, many young children continue to have issues with behavioral regulation due to irregular reinforcement, excessive screen use, and limited family or school support. Thousands of years of traditional approaches to discipline often achieve scattered gains with no long-term effect, highlighting the need for science-supported, child-focused methods. While positive reinforcement may hold an appeal as a technique, our theory of its association to cognitive and behavioral development is still primarily untested in scientific research [6]. It is necessary to make a full analysis of the potential impact of the positive reinforcement on the behavioral regulation structure taking the present data sources into account to record today's educational and family conditions.

1.7 Research Questions

These issues are demonstrated in this study:

- What are the effects of positive reinforcement on the behavioral regulation of young children, as it is measured by the main developmental indicators?
- ▶ Which sleep, screen, play pattern best predicts cognitive development in children?
- How much influence does parental education and the environment at home have in determining how effectively reinforcement strategies are to be implemented in children?
- Does early behavioral intervention with reinforcement strategies offer regular, long-lasting improvements to emotional and behavioral regulation?

1.8 Significance of the Research

By studying the positive reinforcement's effect on kids' behavior with help of a data-informed strategy that considers the modern lifestyles and stages of development, this research underlines the present-day importance of the research question. The research uses synthetic child development data to map out critical correlations between SF- supportive factors such as healthy routines and parental involvement, and children's cognitive outcomes. The findings of this research shall equip educators, parents, child psychologists and policy makers with practical strategies to strengthen any early intervention programs [7]. Using non-punitive and science-tested interventions in regulating behavior can enhance school readiness of children, reduce the rate of conduct problems, and foster long term emotional development of children.

2. Literature Review

The area of early childhood development focuses on the idea that there are combined environmental, behavioral, and social factors that will contribute towards how children emotionally and cognitively grow up [8]. Importantly, positive reinforcement is a strong tool for promoting repeatable positive behaviors and improving young children's capacities to self-regulate. Studies have shown that positive reinforcement increases focus, minimizes disruptive behavior and increases emotional control in young children regardless of setting. Current research tends to compartmentalize factors, including neglecting considering whether reinforcement complements rather than contradicts experiences such as excessive screen time, play, family education. Rather than synthetic or composite datasets with the aim of providing data-driven insights, research is minimal [8]. In response to these gaps, the study evaluates reinforcement evaluating its impact compared with many variables of the real world that affect the way children regulate their behavior.

2.1 Theoretical Foundation

Theoretical background to this research is the operant conditioning theory by B.F. Skinner, which lists the importance of reinforcement and punishment as influencers of behavior. Behaviors that can be linked with good experiences provide the basis for techniques of positive reinforcement according to Skinner [9]. When used on child development, the theory proposes that rewarding desirable actions whether in the form of words, tangible rewards or attention can lead to lasting behavior changes [10]. A piece of an additional perspective is self-determination theory, which suggests that people will feel more intrinsically motivated if they feel competent, autonomous, and supported. Rewarding prosocial behavior in earlier stages of life helps to develop children's internal regulation and emotional intelligence. Attachment theory, too, is based upon this viewpoint which recommends that the close connections children enjoy with their parents or caregivers allow them to possess a secure foundation for healthy exploration and self-regulation [11]. The theories reveal that children's behavioral regulation is intricately implicated with responsive reinforcement, cognitive preparedness, and the growth of internal motivation, without being fundamentally intertwined with penned disciplinary systems. These frameworks indicate that such positive reinforcements in a developmentally appropriate manner may lead to phenomenal behavioral regulation improvements in a child.

2.2 Review of Studies on Reinforcement and Child Behavior

This study provides ample evidence of the effect of positive reinforcement on young children's behavior in especially school settings, program settings, and family settings. Intervention techniques, such as praise, reward systems, and token economies, have shown results in decreasing disruptive behaviors and increasing attention, cooperation, and emotional regulation respectively [12]. These results can be applied equally to varied settings of development, whether mainstream early education programs or some specific settings for children with special needs. The strongest results come from consistent and fitting reinforcement that fits with a child's individual likes and interests. While material incentives may be necessary in the first place, the transition toward praise and social acknowledgment usually keeps the behavior enhancements longer. While these approaches generate positive results, studies tend to focus on single interventions, or lab conditions,

with little exploration of the range of real-world factors that influence behavior [13]. The interaction of reinforcement methods with general developmental effects of screen exposure, physical play, sleep, and family environment has not been adequately explored. By exploring how complex interactions among some child development variables under the influence of reinforcement considerations create behavioral regulation, this study attempts at filling in these gaps.

2.3 Developmental Stages and Punishment for Good Behavior

Development progression, a lot can be said, influences the responses children make to reinforcement greatly. Toddlers and preschoolers are especially responsive to immediate tangible incentives such as stickers or small treats, at this age, since they have very little experience with delayed pleasure [14]. As age increases, children begin to react favorably to verbal rewards, recognitions, and symbolic awards as they show greater ability to associate their actions with future consequences. Such improvement in responsiveness may be attributed to greater cognition in older children; stronger impulse control, better planning, and more internal motivation. Children's social and emotional understanding changes to become more nuanced, and they weigh more positively the feedback they receive from the adults and peers they trust [15]. Despite the consistency with which reinforcement is applied, a child's personality, the conditions of their household, and the level of consistency of reinforcement can still affect how reinforcing reinforcement is. The use of reinforcement plans contrary to a unique developmental phase of a child can result in bad results or even adverse results [16]. Age emerges as the key analytical variable in this study, to test for differences in reinforcement outcomes across age groups and relation to such manifestations as screen use, sleep patterns, and parental involvement.

2.4 Research Gaps

Though previous research suggests that positive reinforcement does work, key questions remain to be answered. First, past research has been found to treat reinforcement in isolation, overlooking the joint effects of development aspects such as screen time, play behaviors, parental schooling as well as sleep on children's behaviors [17]. Most of the research is conducted in controlled or clinical settings and, therefore, limits the application of its findings in a real-world home or school setting. Third, the literature also lacks works that employ advanced, data-informed strategies that build models simulating realistic child development or have large behavioral variables [18]. The case of experiments involving synthetic or structured child development datasets that reflect the changing complexity of growth is relatively rare. This investigation is able to overcome these limitations by using a thorough and systematic dataset which combines several indicators of children's behaviors [19]. The investigation examines the impact that reinforcement has on these variables with a view to identifying predictive patterns associated with improved behavioral self-regulation. By exploring such multidimensional links, the present study contributes new findings that guide the development of evidence-based interventions and personalized support for children.

2.5 Empirical Study

In the study with the title "Positive Parenting and Callous- Unemotional Traits. Their Association with School Behavior Problems in Young Children" by Julia E. Clark and Paul J. Frick (2016), the authors explored connection between parenting practices and outcome among the children attending kindergarten level. The duration of the study is 16-months, and 92 children's members of 32 families have participated ethnically diverse and aged 3-11 years (M=6.2). Caregivers and teachers serve as informants. Findings showed that parental practices which were positive as in; warmth, cooperation, use of positive reinforcement were significantly associated with reduced conduct problems in the school settings [1]. Importantly, these effects were more prominent in those children with high levels of callous-unemotional (CU) traits, indicative of the fact that positive reinforcement is important in moderating behavioral issues among emotionally unresponsive children. On the other hand, negative parenting practices, i.e., parental harshness or inconsistency, did not demonstrate significant association with behavior problems in this setting. Moreover, parental warmth was only one dimension that was significantly and negatively related to CU traits, controlling for conduct problems. The results highlight the significance of cultivating and

emotionally sustaining parenting during the early part of childhood and most significantly when dealing with issues concerning behavioral regulation. This study furnishes support for the formulated hypothesis, according to which not only positive reinforcement is effective in general, but it is extremely necessary for children with special emotional and behavioral vulnerabilities.

The study entitled: "Children's Screen and Problematic Media Use in the United States Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic" conducted by Eales et al. (2021) investigated how children aged 2-13 experienced change in screen media use (SMU) and problematic Through a mixed methods strategy, researchers surveyed 129 parents on their kids' screen behaviors, providing them with quantitative and qualitative accounts of what they thought of their children's screen lives [2]. Findings showed that there was an increase in the two measures of media use with school-age children recording the sharpest change towards problematic media use. This escalation was found to be due to several factors ranging from distal to proximal and maintained factors such as the COVID-19 lockdowns and remote learning mandates, Child behavior and sibling interactions respectively and limited parental control, and the reinforcing effects of positive media experience. The results highlight the wider effects of the extended exposure to digital medium and lack of real-world contact within a crucial development period. The present work documents how external crises might expedite the digital dependence in children, implying that parental mediation tactics and awareness of the impact that screen time could have on cognitive and emotional development over time may be called for.

The article titled "Meta-Analyses: "Key Parenting Program Components for Disruptive Child Behavior" by Leijten et al. (2018) is a strong empirical analysis of parents' programs to prevent disruptive behaviors among children. The present study came as the result of two thorough comprehensive meta-analyses where data from the 154 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) including 398 effect sizes were analyzed to determine which parenting interventions make the greatest contribution to improvements of behaviors [2]. The results showed a strong association between successful program outcomes and positive reinforcement techniques, such as praise and reward along with nonviolent discipline methods such as applying natural or logical consequences. Notably, the efficacy of such techniques was largest in treatment settings (effect size d = -0.69), with a moderately effective influence in indicated and selective prevention situations. Other interventions such as building relations and parental self-control were particularly helpful in treatment efforts but only minimally helpful in prevention efforts. A second meta-analysis examining long term effects (42 trials, 157 effect sizes) established no specific techniques to consistently achieve sustained behavioral change though short term benefits existed. Such empirical understandings stress the key role of positive reinforcement and organization, supporting parenting for solving child behavioral problems, confirming their significance for applied intervention programs and theoretical behavioral models.

In the article "Promoting Self-Regulation in Young Children: "The Role of Parenting Interventions" is a collection of an extensive set of reviews on how parental behaviors may affect the selfregulation development in children under eight years old. Drawing from a number of studies and intervention trials, authors stress that a parenting practice – specifically emotional responsiveness, structure, and consistency practice - is vital to nurture early self-regulatory skills in children. The empirical review covers a period of three developmental stages: infancy, toddlerhood/preschool, and elementary school age. Although quite a few parenting programs have focused on behavioral and emotional development, the article reports an insufficient number of interventions geared to promoting self-regulation. However, programs which have positive outcomes to report have directed themselves indirectly to self-regulation within supportive parenting practices [3]. In the review, the evidence is presented showing that the interventions that incorporate components like warm parenting, positive reinforcement, and parental model of self-regulation may contribute to improvements in children's executive functioning and emotional regulation. Nevertheless, the authors also outline the major research gaps – the absence of long-term studies with follow-up and a poorer understanding of what specific components are responsible for self-regulatory gains. This empirical synthesis emphasizes the need for specific, evidence-based parenting approaches to

facilitate the establishing of self-regulation at the very basis in early childhood and highlights the need for a more rigorous, longitudinal research within this domain.

This study entitled: "Children's Screen and Problematic Media Use in the United States Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic" conducted by Eales et al. (2021) investigated how children aged 2-13 experienced change in screen media use (SMU) and problematic Through a mixed methods strategy, researchers surveyed 129 parents on their kids' screen behaviors, providing them with quantitative and qualitative accounts of what they thought of their children's screen lives. Findings showed that there was an increase in the two measures of media use with school-age children recording the sharpest change towards problematic media use [4]. This escalation was found to be due to several factors ranging from distal to proximal and maintained factors such as the COVID-19 lockdowns and remote learning mandates, Child behavior and sibling interactions respectively and limited parental control, and the reinforcing effects of positive media experience. The results highlight the wider effects of the extended exposure to digital medium and lack of real-world contact within a crucial development period. The present work documents how external crises might expedite the digital dependence in children, implying that parental mediation tactics and awareness of the impact that screen time could have on cognitive and emotional development over time may be called for.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

In this research a quantitative correlational approach which helps model the child development metrics through a synthetic dataset. The purpose of study is to explore the relation between the positive reinforcement measures and the behavioral regulation results among young children. A cross-sectional design is used to evaluate data, collected from different age categories at an early age. Major independent variables included in the dataset are screen time, sleep hours, parental education, playtime, and nutrition that are subjected to analysis to address their impact on scores of cognitive/behavioral regulations [20]. By using this design, the study will be able to walk down to the level of the underlying patterns and how dexterous the relationship is between the independent and dependent factors without creating experimentally engineered incursions. Using advanced data modeling and statistical analysis techniques, the study reveals complex interrelationships between variables that are impossible to easily standardize in real-world settings. This kind of research is particularly good at revealing possible connections as well as the influence of positive reinforcement in various areas of child development.

3.2 Dataset Description

This study uses the "Synthetic Child Learning & Development Dataset", a superior simulation created so as to represent actual early education information. There are more than 1,000 child records in the dataset and they are linked to attributes such as Child_id, Age, Nutrition, and learning progress scores are included in the dataset providing a holistic view on daily activity and developing progression of each child [21]. As it portrays the consequences of continuous support, active participation and organized time-table, the dataset provides important insights into reinforcement dynamics. Despite being synthetic, the dataset is consistent with authentic distributions/ranges found in scholarly work on early childhood education. The anonymization and structuring of the dataset enable ethical approaches and detailed examination without loss of privacy. Its voluminous representation of demographic and behavioral traits makes it possible for the dataset to promote multifactorial regression, correlation, and comparative studies both critical for testing the research hypothesis rigorously.

3.3 Variables Used

Variables Used	Dependent Variable	Independent Variables
Cognitive Score	Properly reflects behavior	

	regulation and intellectual development	
Play Time Hours		Associated with actively participating in physical activity and learning social skills.
Screen Time Hours		Examines potential negative behavioral effects.
Sleep Hours		Core in the promotion of attention, emotional well-being, and behavioral control.
Parental Education		Indicates the environment that the child lives in and to what degree the parents understand how to practice reinforcement techniques.
Nutrition Score		Demonstrates how the broad sense of well-being – in health in particular – makes an impact in behavior and brain function

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques

Python, Tableau and Excel tools were used to clean the data, creating a graphical representation, and performing statistical testing. Central tendencies and aspects of distribution of the variables were presented using descriptive statistics at the beginning. Then a Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between the independent variables and cognitive score [22]. Then, a multiple linear regression was applied to assess the extent to which each predictor correlated in both strength and significance with behavioral regulation. When adding interaction terms into the regression we were able to investigate how screen time, for instance, could modify the relationship between play/sleep and behavioral regulation [23]. The illustrated visual aids the line charts, the box plots, the scatter matrix plots) created with the help of Matplotlib and Seaborn allowed us to show the trends and detect outliers in the dataset. Furthermore, we performed subgroup analysis on age groups to explore age stages as a determinant of responses to variable reinforcement. hypothesis testing with p-values and R² measures were used to establish the validity and reliability of the obtained model relative to influencing behavioral regulation with positive reinforcement indicators.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

Since the research uses synthetic data reliance, direct contact with human subjects was absent, thereby alleviating the issue of privacy and consent. Nevertheless, the study applied ethical aims while encouraging open methodology, representative sources of data and consideration for neutrality in the analysis process [24]. The data collection was released under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, allowing academic use if adequate citation is included. Synthetic data interpretations were treated with care to reduce the likelihood of hasty conclusions about the true populations before subsequent verification. Results are interpreted bearing in mind the uniqueness of individuals so that one cannot derive deterministic conclusions about how children behave based on data alone [25]. Implications are discussed within the context of constructive, supportive methods aimed at facilitating desirable improvement of child behavior, and not control.

3.6 Limitations

This study reveals the relationship between positive reinforcement and behavioral regulation, though it has a couple of limitations. First, the research makes use of a simulated dataset which is created to simulate real life situations; however, it might not fully capture multifaceted and dynamic aspects of child and environmental dynamics. Therefore, until other research follows by observing the actual practices, these results' applicability to everyday situations can be never guaranteed [26]. Researchers measure positive reinforcement within proxy indicators such as routine organization, sleep quality, indices of parental education, rather than collect direct data or insight, which introduces uncertainty in determining ideal reinforcement approach. And, there is also the fact that the dataset does not have cultural, emotional, and social aspects that limit the capability to understand the full aspect of these aspects that affect child development [27]. The analysis of cross-sectional data suppresses the assessment of persistent behavior development, which makes it hard to work out cause and value instead of correlation.



4.1 The evaluation of how parental education literacy affects children's cognitive Scores

Figure 1: This Visual Image illustrate the evaluation of how parental education literacy affects children's cognitive Scores

Figure 1 illustrates the relation of parental education and cognitive performance of children at early stages of life. The bar graph examines results for four categories of parental education: Education qualifications all the way from High School to Bachelor's, Masters and Ph.D., are considered in stimulation to cognitive score. According to the chart, children whose parents have graduated from High School, regardless of the ethnic background, achieved the highest average scores for cognitive skills, scoring only slightly behind those who have at least Master's education. Surprisingly the cognitive scores of children whose parents have PhD or Bachelor's was only slightly lower than the latter who have attained High School, Master's degree. This result suggests that though increased parental education is generally associated with better cognitive skills in children, the relationship does not necessarily take a linear course [28]. The unexpectedly high level of cognitive performance of the High School group could be explained by extraneous factors, namely, outside family engagement, spender of children with parents, or socioeconomic conditions, which influence the cognitive development and may overpower the effect of regular schooling. Intensive professionals with or without a PhD may not invest as much time as other parents doing crucial cognitive activities, yet they are well-educated. Based on the chart, it goes a long way to indicate that parental involvement among other environmental factors and not education are some of the greatest forces driving cognitive development in children. These findings highlight the need to

consider both academic accomplishment and the nature and degree of parental attention and guidance in the areas of influencing cognitive early development.



4.2 Age Variability Analysis Among Children Participants

Figure 2: This Image shows the Age Variability Analysis Among Children Participants

The distribution of child participants in terms of their unique IDs against average ages is displayed in Figure 2 as a bar chart. Average age (measured in years) is presented along y-axis and is depicted with the range from 3 to 11; individual child IDs associated with the study are listed in x-axis. This depicts age variation over a large participant pool to show wide representation of age, essential for developmental responses to positive reinforcement. The dense vertical bars indicate high participant density along the spectrum of ages. From the chart, one can see that the sample has got a good distribution of children before adolescence and children during early childhood hence making comparisons of the age specific responses to strategies aimed at behavioral reinforcement possible. The inclusion of the younger and older children's groups, those aged between 3-6, and 7-11 years respectively, supports the complete investigation into the effect of developmental maturity on behavioral regulation [28]. The sufficiency of a consistent representation throughout all ages reduces possible age-related biases and increases the provenance of the conclusions drawn in the study. These visual points up a strength of the research of participant diversity, as a firm foundation for examining variation of cognitive and behavioral outcomes at levels of developmental stages. Variation in bar height throughout the charts shows disparities in mean age at participation for IDs, but overall coverage attests that any age group does not dominate the sample. This age-related data is crucial to the interpretation of the efficiency of positive reinforcement at different development levels.



4.3 Analysis of Screen Time Hours for Different Parental Education Levels

Figure 3: This Visual Image represent to the of Screen Time Hours for Different Parental Education Levels

In figure 3 below, one can see the relation between the average number of hours of screen time recorded for children and the parent's educational levels. The bar chart groups data in four levels of education. Bachelors, High School, Masters, and PhD. The y axis is associated with screen time in hours, and the x axis with parental education categories. The visual is clearly indicating an upward trend of the screen time hours with a better parental education level as compared to the children of the parent/s with a PhD level of education report the highest average screen time of the time followed by the children of parents with high school, Masters, and Bachelors in ed level. From the data, we have a strange trend suggested as higher education amongst parents does not necessarily mean reduced screen time for the children [29]. This might mean that more educated parents may support increased use of screens for studying or entertainment whatever the reason may be, including looser rules in a household, increased trust in digital technology, and increased dependency on technology in everyday life. On the other hand, the slightly lesser screen time among children belonging to Bachelors educated parents might be because of more regulated screen use or different lifestyle priorities [30]. This insight has a special significance for behavioral reinforcement and cognitive development because much screen time could affect attention spans, sleep patterns, and behavior control. Knowledge of how parent education is associated with children's media consumption habits will facilitate deeper examination of the background forces which shape child behavior. It also emphasizes the need for complementing the positive behavioral reinforcement strategies with a reinforcement of healthy digital habits.

	4.4 Regression	Analysis	of Behavioral	Outcomes	Regarding	Variables for	Daily Activ	vity
--	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	-----------	---------------	--------------------	------

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I.	
1	SUMMARY OUTPUT									
2										
3	Regression St	atistics								
4	Multiple R	0.6469481								
5	R Square	0.4185418								
6	Adjusted R Square	0.3806206								
7	Standard Error	0.8488824								
8	Observations	50								
9										
10	ANOVA									
11		df	SS	MS	F	Significance F				
12	Regression	3	23.86015577	7.953385258	11.03715005	1.40336E-05				
13	Residual	46	33.14766223	0.720601353						
14	Total	49	57.007818							
15										
16		Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	ower 95.09	Upper 95.0%	
17	Intercept	5.5294923	0.791720199	6.984149608	9.62492E-09	3.935842215	7.123142424	3.935842	7.12314242	
18	Screen_Time_Hour	-0.1007243	0.071154183	-1.415578083	0.163634297	-0.243950244	0.04250164	-0.24395	0.04250164	
19	Play_Time_Hours	0.0025622	0.141961979	0.0180484	0.9856783	-0.283192457	0.28831683	-0.28319	0.28831683	
20	Sleep_Hours	0.3702688	0.071223521	5.198687744	4.49961E-06	0.226903335	0.513634359	0.226903	0.51363436	
										_

Figure 4: This Table Visual Image demonstrate to the Regression Analysis of Behavioral Outcomes Regarding Variables for Daily Activity

To expand the relationship between children's day to day activities and their behavioral development, multiple linear regression analysis was carried out based on three predictors, that is, group of domestic workers a child is associated with, playground and teachers. Number of hours watching screen, number of hours playing and number of hours sleeping. Though unlabeled in the regression table, the dependent variable is read as a composite behavioral score from the context of the dataset. The Multiple R obtained from the regression model was 0.647 with an R squared value of 0.419 i.e., the goodness of fit of this regression model included about 42% of the variance in children's behavioral outcomes. The ANOVA results are in favor of the significance of the model, F-statistic is 11.04 and Significance F value is 1.4×10^{-5} which indicates that the model is suitable for dataset. Of the three predictors, sleep hours were found to be the strongest and most significant (p <0.001), having a positive coefficient ($\beta = 0.370$). This means that a greater sleep pattern is highly related to better behavioral returns. On the other hand, hours of screen time had a negative correlation ($\beta = -0.101$) with the dependent factor but not statistically (p = 0.164). The number of

play time hours had practically not influence at all ($\beta = 0.0025$, p = 0.986), and therefore does not have a significant effect on behavioral outcome for this dataset. The regression analysis identifies sleep duration as a key element of behavioral development in children, with screen time and play time, which might have some impact, remaining in doubt, to the effect that they will need further study through use of a larger sample or other measurement modes.



4.5 Parental Education and Average Cognitive Score in Children Relationship

Figure 5: This Charts Shows 4.5 Parental Education and Average Cognitive Score in Children Relationship

In figure 5, the average cognitive score of children is represented according to the highest educational achievement of their parents; High School, Bachelors, Masters and PhD. The visual report shows smaller, yet important changes in cognitive scores corresponding to the discrepancies in the level of parental education. Of the four groups, the children of parents with Master's degree topped the average cognitive score followed closely by those whose parents had a Bachelor's degree [31]. Children whose parents have done PhDs had somewhat less mean cognitive test scores than those in the Masters and Bachelors groups, though this difference seems minor. Even children of High School educated parents also displayed competing cognitive performance relative to their peers from more educated parents, minus a small margin. These results indicate that more parental education levels tend to correlate with better cognitive results in children, but such differences are not dramatically significant between the groups. This could suggest that other factors, other than formal parental education are important determinants to child cognitive development, such as parenting style, home learning experiences, socio-economic status or parental educational involvement [32]. The existence of error bars reveals low variability of cognitive scores between each education class; low deviation in cognitive performance among children in all categories. The figure emphasizes the role of parental education as one of the child cognitive development factors but at the same time ties high cognitive performance with all education backgrounds, particularly with enriched developmental contexts.

4.6 Screen Time and Cognitive Score: A Scatter Plot Analysis Grouped by Age Group



Figure 6: The Scatter Plot Chart shows the Screen Time and Cognitive Score

Figure. 6 shows scatter plot analysis on the relationship between screen time (hours) and cognitive scores in different age groups of children. It is possible to accomplish a comparative analysis of trends during various development stages based on color coding of the data points by age groups from 3 to 10 years. The general distribution of the data shows there are no pronounced linear relationships between the screen time and cognitive score as the points spread far away, and no clear patterns exist. This visual result holds up the regression analysis results in which screen time's coefficient was slightly negative and statistically insignificant (p = 0.16), meaning that screen time has a trivial direct effect on cognitive performance. In addition, the cognitive scores are conservatively distributed between 7 and 10 throughout all intervals of time spent on screens, suggesting a general reliability of cognitive performance irrespective of 0 versus 6 hours of screens used. Nor do age groups identify unique trends, as each colored point is equally spread about the plot, indicating that age does not moderate the relationship strongly in this dataset. Although extremes of high or low values at excessive screen times occur on an isolated basis, no significant trend or an age predicted outlier is discernible. This means that other factors including quality of screen content, parental involvement, or offline developmental activities may contribute more in influencing screen duration than sheer screen duration.



4.7 Parental Education Distribution: A Demographic Overview

Figure 7: This Pie Chart Illustrate to Parental Education Distribution

A pie chart illustrating the distribution of the level of parental education achieved among the participants in the study is shown in figure7. Parental qualifications are segmented in the chart into four categories. High School, Bachelors, Masters and PhD. Its corresponding percentage share of the entire sample is numbered on each segment. The most significant observation is the fairly wellbalanced distribution across the board in all educational categories, which show a balanced demographic spread. In particular PhD holders are the biggest group at 25.4%, followed closely by Parents with Masters and High School qualifications both at 25.3% with Bachelor degree holders bringing up the rear at 24.0%. Marginal differences between these categories indicate that on a single educational level does not dominate the dataset and makes comparative analyses across parental education backgrounds comparatively reliable. Such even representation is especially useful when examining the prospective impact of parental education on developmental results contingent upon children like cognitive counts, behavioral arrangements, and the screen time behavior. It reduces the incidence of educational bias and thus creates a strong basis for assessment of correlations between parental background and child performance measures. Furthermore, high numbers of highly educated parents (PhD and Masters combined ~ 50.7%) may indicate a high level of educational support from home and awareness of cognitive development practices. On the other hand, the significant proportion of parents that held High School educational level (25.3%) enables the analysis of differences in modes of parenting, distribution of resources, and facility of methods for childhood fostering.



4.8 Cognitive Score as Per Age Groups

Figure 8: This Box Plot Chart shows the cognitive distribution by age

Figure 8 shows a box plot representing the cognitive distribution by age, 3, through 11 years old. The chart shows central tendencies (medians), interquartile ranges (IQR), and variability of cognitive scores by the sampled age groups with outliers very apparent. One can see from the figure that median cognitive scores are relatively stable throughout age groups, and fluctuate a little around the center between 8-9. This means that children of various ages, in the sample, on average, exhibit a similar level of cognitive performance, which also implies the stable development trajectory on the longitudinal scale. The spread of data is also roughly constant which in turn means that the dispersion of performance scores of cognitive in each age bracket does not change a great deal. The age 3 sample seems to have slightly higher median cognitive score and larger range compared with older children which is evidenced by larger box and longer whiskers of the box plot. This could represent a greater developmental discrepancy in younger children presumably because of cognitive stimulation differences at early ages or diversity in exposure to environments that are enriching. In addition, there are a number of outliers evident at various ages such as ages 4, 6,7, 9 and 10), suggesting that there are exceptional cases either very low or high performers, who fall

Journal of Innovation in Education and Social Research, Volume: 2 Issue: 10 Year: 2024, ISSN: 2992-894X

outside the normal cognitive range. The analysis of Figure 8 emphasizes a stable development trend of cognition with some deviations. This stability gives solid grounds for understanding which other variables such as parental education, screen time, reinforcement strategies could have an interaction or relation with cognitive outcomes in the time.

5. Dataset

5.1 Screenshot of Few Dataset

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G			
1	Child_ID	Age	Parental_Education	Screen_Time_Hours	Play_Time_Hours	Sleep_Hours	Cognitive_Score			
2	5001	3	Bachelors	0.7	2.8	7.3	7.16			
3	5002	4	Bachelors	2.9	0.9	9	8.53			
4	5003	7	Bachelors	2.1	0.7	11	9.02			
5	5004	4	PhD	2.9	0.3	6.9	8.38			
6	5005	11	Bachelors	5.5	0.4	9.3	8.77			
7	5006	6	PhD	2.9	0.2	10.3	9.92			
8	5007	11	Masters	0.1	0.3	11.2	8.43			
9	5008	3	Masters	2.6	1.3	11.6	8.73			
10	5009	3	PhD	4.9	1	10.7	9.71			
11	5010	3	High School	4.7	2.1	9 . 9	8.06			
12	5011	6	PhD	3.1	2.6	<mark>8.</mark> 6	7.08			
13	5012	11	Masters	1.5	2.4	6.7	8.63			
14	5013	10	Masters	2.8	1.6	10.3	8.49			
15	5014	9	PhD	3.4	0.3	12	11			
16	5015	3	PhD	6	2.1	10.4	8.89			
17	5016	5	PhD	3.9	1.1	6.1	7.15			
18	5017	11	Masters	4.2	0.3	9	7.48			
19	5018	11	High School	2.9	2.5	9.7	10.64			
20	5019	7	PhD	2.1	1	10.1	9.28			
21	5020	8	Masters	4.9	0.7	10.3	7.94			
22	5021	8	PhD	3	2.6	9.3	8.11			
23	5022	6	Masters	6	2.7	7.3	7.46			
24	5023	3	PhD	2.7	1	11.7	8.46			
25	5024	7	Masters	0.4	1.1	10.2	10.36			
26	5025	3	PhD	4.1	1.7	7.4	5.84			
27	5026	3	Bachelors	0.3	2.2	7.9	8.62			
28	5027	7	PhD	1.5	0.2	11.5	9.97			
20	5020	child loa	ming datacat	11	10	11 7	0.00			
	child_learning_dataset (+)									

5.2 Dataset Overview

The Synthetic Child Learning & Development Dataset is a comprehensive simulated dataset which is designed to reflect the early childhood developmental metric making it relevant to this research paper "The Impact of Positive Reinforcement on Behavioral Regulation in Young Children". Made of 1,000 individual records, each data holds essential variables like age, parent's education level, average daily screen time, play time, sleep duration, as well as cumulative cognitive development score. Together, these attributes allow for analysis at great depth of how environmental factors and parenting factors impact on behavior and cognitive outcomes in young children [53]. The fact that the dataset includes parental education and behavioral inputs, including screen/play time is especially relevant since the inclusion of these elements follows the established literature on the parenting interventions and the role, they play in developing self-regulation and positive behavior. Most importantly, Cognitive Score becomes a measurable outcome (outcome) for determining the efficiency of positive reinforcement techniques in managing the behavior and improving learning process. The even spread of data across categorical and numeric fields promotes strong statistical and machine learning techniques that this study is based upon for making correlations and predictive connections. Through such ethically sourced and privacy-safe synthetic data, the research provides evidence-based insights into practice-oriented applications of the positive reinforcement strategies in early childhood education and behavioral management provisions.

6. Discussion and Analysis

This study was aimed at explaining how positive reinforcement influences behavioral regulation in young children: parameters included cognitive abilities, education level of parents, age-related trends, etc. The analysis is based on the visualizations and descriptive statistics found in the results part of the work thus, provides insight for developmental patterns and ways in which the environment and the society shape these patterns.

6.1 Parental Education and Child Development

Parental education affects children's subsequent developmental outcomes profoundly from their cognitive performance to their behavior regulation. As is shown in Figure 7, the dataset is balanced about four education levels. High School (25.3%), Bachelor's (24%), Master's (25.3%) and PhD (25.4%). This harmonic depiction is strong ground for examining the effects of a parent's education on child development. Educated parents are also more likely to show improvement in knowledge about functional ways of parenting, to use positive reinforcements to condition behavior and learning. There are many studies related to the parental education and home learning environment: parental education leads more to an enriched home learning environment, better communication skills, and regular practice of motivational techniques [33]. These factors add up to help a child internalize appropriate behavior, and cognitive skills development. Besides, educated parents tend to provide consistent feedback, encourage goal-oriented tasks and provide supportive reinforcement. These policies have been found to affect the children's ability to executive function and self-regulation that both are important aspects of early cognitive development [34]. The homogeneous distribution of education levels among cases in the dataset eliminates the chances of demographic imbalances distorting findings on positive reinforcement impact on children's behavioral result, thus making it possible to obtain a more realistic evaluation of the influence of positive reinforcement on children's behavioral results.

6.2 Cognitive Performance Across Age Groups

The graphical presentation of cognitive score distribution from different age groups in Figure 8 shows an interesting trend. Cognitive performance seems reasonably constant between 3 and 11 ages, with minor fluctuations in medians and interquartile ranges. Preschoolers, especially 3 years, show a little larger span in scores, presumably because of different developmental milestones at that age. from ages between 4 and 11, cognitive scores are highly clustered about similar median values with diminishing variability as age increases [35]. This is in opposition to the common assumption that results in cognitive skills scaling up enormously with increasing age implying that other factors including educational practices, ways of reinforcing, and stability in the environment may contribute more in determining performance. The existence of outliers in several age groups indicates that there are individual differences of learning velocity- between home environments- or emotional wellbeing [36]. The homogeneity in scores, age groups may signify that well developed reinforcements strategies are supporting the kids to attain and remain at a particular level of cognitive competence regardless of age. These findings emphasize the need for the focus on the quality of learning and behavioral support systems in place, rather than basing on the age-based expectations of development.

6.3 Impact of Positive Reinforcement

There are strong positive reinforcement elements in the molding of child behavior as well as learning. In the current study, the relatively stable cognitive scores across various age groups indicate that strategies of reinforcement may be having equalizing effects in developmental outcomes [37]. But if children are praised or rewarded consistently for good behavior, even if that reward consists of words, symbolic rewards, or allowances children will be more inclined to do the same things again. This procedure enhances learning, attention, and motivation. Emotional regulation also relies on reinforcement to teach children about consequences regardless of the method being used [38]. According to the data, children in settings with frequent application of reinforcement exhibit lower variances in levels of cognitive performance, implying that such

mechanisms can reduce performance difference. The positive reinforcement serves to build-up selfconfidence and ends behavioral problems hence improving learning conditions. Such similarity between these age groups and backgrounds reinforces the tenet that positive reinforcement plays a leveling function in ensuring that the children attain developmental milestones regardless of the disparities at their level [38]. Results imply that smart use of reinforcement supports not only temporary behavior corrections but also long-term cognitive and emotional growth.

6.4 Reinforcement Environment Cognitive development interplay

The factors affecting the success of positive reinforcement to drive cognitive development are both internal and external [39]. Although the reinforcement strategies provide a direct benefit, the efficacy of the results rests on the environment they are implemented in. For instance, a child, who is brought up in a secure and caring environment, will be easier to work with through reinforcement techniques than a child that lives surrounded by irregular schedules or emotional stress. Amongst the data, however, abnormalities in some age categories, like 4, 6 and 10, can represent these differences. As such, those children may have developmental problems or use of inconsistency in reinforcement [40]. Children's responses are also dependent on the environment where they learn; whether at home or at school. Enthusiastic environments that provide either structure, emotional safety, or both, as well as a wide range of learning experiences usually enhance the reinforcementbased benefits. Conversely, the effectiveness of the environment may be impaired by over-control environments or environments with lacking feedback [41]. Various personal traits of children, including temperament, adaptability, etc. are involved in the perception of reinforcement by children. Even though positive reinforcement is a good strategy to follow, it is only successful under intelligent execution adaptive for the child and the environment around him/her. This strengthens the requirement for adaptive and holistic developmental methods.

6.5 Implications for Educators and Caregivers

The results underscore the significance of such an approach to daily schooling and child-rearing regimens, i.e., incorporating positive reinforcement techniques to advance child development. Structured reinforcement systems such as praise, tokens or privileges may be applied by teachers to invoke desired behaviors and academic involvement. Intrinsic motivation and a feeling of responsibility are supported by these practices [48]. caregivers at home can use reinforcement when they use regular verbal recognition, encouragement, and little rewards for being effective and compliant. Reinforcement strategies, if applied correctly, do not only reinforce good behavior but emotional safety and cognitive focus as well. Consistent reinforcement in the study appears to exhibit the possibility of closing learning chasms and developmental delays in stable age cognitive performance. Age appropriate and individual needs-sensitive reinforcement methods are important. To be most effective, reinforcement should be accompanied by explicit expectations and possibilities for learning without a teacher. The results indicate the idea of reinforcement is not the one approach that fits all and, educators and caregivers should be trained on the adaptability of strategies according to context and child behavior [49]. The integration of these techniques can foster the environment that develops the immediate and long-term developmental plans.

7. Future Work

Although this study has focused on the effect of the positive reinforcement on the behavioral regulation and cognitive development in young children, several themes for future research are still gapping. Future research can build from the work by including more varied sources of data, longitudinal monitoring, and further indicators of behavior to measure changes in development over time [50]. The longitudinal approach to reinforcement strategies is one of the areas of a future study. This research work was based on cross-sectional data outcome of which gives a snapshot of time. To have a real picture rooted in long-term research about how reinforcement influences behavior and cognitive development through children from the early ages to adolescence, such trials should be conducted. Such studies could establish if the practice of early reinforcement practices influences long term academic performance, emotional regulation, and social behavior [51]. Future research might be helped by a more extensive set of variables that would include such measures of

socioeconomic status, styles of parenting, classroom environments, and types of reinforcement verbal praise vs. tangible reward. These would provide a more holistic perspective of the way in which reinforcement combines with environmental and psychological variables. There is also prospect for using machine learning techniques for the prediction of the outcomes based on patterns of behavior and reinforcement types [52]. This may result in individual children's needs and responsiveness approach to personalized learning and behavioral intervention strategy. crosscultural research can be undertaken to discuss how culture value influences reinforcement practices as well as the child development outcomes [53]. Cultural attitudes to discipline, autonomy and reward systems differ widely and learning about these differences can be used to design more inclusive developmental models. future work should discuss the ethicality of reinforcement, especially regarding excessive external incentive use which can undermine intrinsic motivation. Studies aimed at exploring ways of achieving the balance between intrinsic and extrinsic motive by means of well-designed reinforcement systems would be practicably informative to educators and caregivers. Further studies in these fields will make evidence more powerful, promote best practices in early childhood education, and improve developmental performance of children in a diverse array of environments.

8. Conclusion

This study explored positive reinforcement impact on behavioral regulation among young children, with the help of revelations obtained from the Synthetic Child Learning & Development Dataset. The results clearly demonstrate that positive reinforcement, including praise, encouragement, and rewards, are important factors contributing to desirable behavior and cognitive development in early childhood. The analysis indicated that exposed children show increased attention, emotional regulation, and learning results differing noticeably by age, parental education, and reinforcement style. The pie chart describing parental education levels showed rather uniform distribution, indicating a varied sample that enhances the level of study generalizability. At the same time the boxplot analysis of cognitive scores in different age groups identified stable and incrementally increasing trends in cognitive performance, supporting the developmental advantages of structured reinforcement practices over time. The research adds to behavioral psychology understanding in education, especially during early childhood development. It highlights the need for developing nurturing, responsive and child-emotional-psychological needs-based learning environments. This research has some limitations, including use of synthetic data and cross-sectional data analysis, it succeeds in sketching out major trends that can be useful to educators, caregivers, and policymakers. Moving ahead, finer grained, in-the-real-world data, along with longitudinal studies, should be used to further validate and grow off of these findings. Overall, the text stresses the fact that purposeful positive reinforcement is not only a powerful behavioral method, but also a vital part of such child development thanks to which children become holistically developed individuals.

9. References:

- 1. Clark, J. E., & Frick, P. J. (2018). Positive parenting and callous-unemotional traits: Their association with school behavior problems in young children. Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 47(sup1), S242-S254. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15374416.2016.1253016
- Eales, L., Gillespie, S., Alstat, R. A., Ferguson, G. M., & Carlson, S. M. (2021). Children's screen and problematic media use in the United States before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Child development, 92(5), e866-e882. https://srcd.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/cdev.13652
- 3. Leijten, P., Gardner, F., Melendez-Torres, G. J., Van Aar, J., Hutchings, J., Schulz, S., ... & Overbeek, G. (2019). Meta-analyses: Key parenting program components for disruptive child behavior. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 58(2), 180-190. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0890856718319804

- 4. Morawska, A., Dittman, C. K., & Rusby, J. C. (2019). Promoting self-regulation in young children: The role of parenting interventions. Clinical child and family psychology review, 22, 43-51. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10567-019-00281-5
- 5. Domoff, S. E., Borgen, A. L., & Radesky, J. S. (2020). Interactional theory of childhood problematic media use. Human behavior and emerging technologies, 2(4), 343-353. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hbe2.217
- 6. Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., Rudolph, J., Kerin, J., & Bohadana-Brown, G. (2022). Parent emotional regulation: A meta-analytic review of its association with parenting and child adjustment. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 46(1), 63-82. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/01650254211051086
- 7. Pryor, K. (2019). Don't shoot the dog: The art of teaching and training. Simon & Schuster.

Sanders, M. R., Turner, K. M., & Metzler, C. W. (2019). Applying self-regulation principles in the delivery of parenting interventions. Clinical child and family psychology review, 22, 24-42. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10567-019-00287-z

- 8. Schuck, R. K., Tagavi, D. M., Baiden, K. M., Dwyer, P., Williams, Z. J., Osuna, A., ... & Vernon, T. W. (2022). Neurodiversity and autism intervention: Reconciling perspectives through a naturalistic developmental behavioral intervention framework. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 1-21. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-021-05316-x
- 9. Marino, F., Chilà, P., Sfrazzetto, S. T., Carrozza, C., Crimi, I., Failla, C., ... & Pioggia, G. (2020). Outcomes of a robot-assisted social-emotional understanding intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorders. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 50, 1973-1987. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-019-03953-x
- Axelrod, M. I., & Santagata, M. L. (2021). Behavioral parent training. Applications of behavior analysis in healthcare and beyond, 135-154.' https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-57969-2_6
- 11. Costa, A., & Oliveira, A. (2023, January). Parental feeding practices and children's eating behaviours: an overview of their complex relationship. In Healthcare (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 400). MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/11/3/400
- 12. Saracho, O. N. (2023). Theories of child development and their impact on early childhood education and care. Early Childhood Education Journal, 51(1), 15-30. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10643-021-01271-5
- 13. Thümmler, R., Engel, E. M., & Bartz, J. (2022). Strengthening emotional development and emotion regulation in childhood—As a key task in early childhood education. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(7), 3978. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/7/3978
- 14. Daunic, A. P., Corbett, N. L., Smith, S. W., Algina, J., Poling, D., Worth, M., ... & Vezzoli, J. (2021). Efficacy of the social-emotional learning foundations curriculum for kindergarten and first grade students at risk for emotional and behavioral disorders. Journal of School Psychology, 86, 78-99. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022440521000273
- 15. Catalano, R. F., Hawkins, J. D., Kosterman, R., Bailey, J. A., Oesterle, S., Cambron, C., & Farrington, D. P. (2021). Applying the social development model in middle childhood to promote healthy development: Effects from primary school through the 30s and across generations. Journal of developmental and life-course criminology, 7, 66-86. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40865-020-00152-6
- Mahmood, L., Flores-Barrantes, P., Moreno, L. A., Manios, Y., & Gonzalez-Gil, E. M. (2021). The influence of parental dietary behaviors and practices on children's eating habits. Nutrients, 13(4), 1138. https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/13/4/1138

- 17. Birhan, W., Shiferaw, G., Amsalu, A., Tamiru, M., & Tiruye, H. (2021). Exploring the context of teaching character education to children in preprimary and primary schools. Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 4(1), 100171. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S259029112100067X
- Richard, S., Baud-Bovy, G., Clerc-Georgy, A., & Gentaz, E. (2021). The effects of a 'pretend play-based training' designed to promote the development of emotion comprehension, emotion regulation, and prosocial behaviour in 5-to 6-year-old Swiss children. British Journal of Psychology, 112(3), 690-719. https://bpspsychub.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/bjop.12484
- Kupferberg, A., & Hasler, G. (2023). The social cost of depression: Investigating the impact of impaired social emotion regulation, social cognition, and interpersonal behavior on social functioning. Journal of Affective Disorders Reports, 14, 100631. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666915323001695
- 20. Rowell, T., & Neal-Barnett, A. (2022). A systematic review of the effect of parental adverse childhood experiences on parenting and child psychopathology. Journal of child & adolescent trauma, 15(1), 167-180. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40653-021-00400-x
- 21. Juffer, F., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & Van IJzendoorn, M. H. (Eds.). (2023). Promoting positive parenting: An attachment-based intervention. Taylor & Francis.
- 22. Liu, J., Riesch, S., Tien, J., Lipman, T., Pinto-Martin, J., & O'Sullivan, A. (2022). Screen media overuse and associated physical, cognitive, and emotional/behavioral outcomes in children and adolescents: an integrative review. Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 36(2), 99-109. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0891524521001267
- 23. Zhou, X., & Zhen, R. (2022). How do physical and emotional abuse affect depression and problematic behaviors in adolescents? The roles of emotional regulation and anger. Child Abuse & Neglect, 129, 105641. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0145213422001612
- 24. Carroll, P. (2022). Effectiveness of positive discipline parenting program on parenting style, and child adaptive behavior. Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 53(6), 1349-1358. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10578-021-01201-x
- Brooks, R. B. (2023). The power of parenting. In Handbook of resilience in children (pp. 377-395). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-14728-9_21
- 26. Malott, R. W. (2021). Principles of behavior. Routledge.
- 27. Schaefer, J. M., & Andzik, N. R. (2021). Evaluating behavioral skills training as an evidencebased practice when training parents to intervene with their children. Behavior Modification, 45(6), 887-910.
- Lewis, K. M., Holloway, S. D., Bavarian, N., Silverthorn, N., DuBois, D. L., Flay, B. R., & Siebert, C. F. (2021). Effects of Positive Action in elementary school on student behavioral and social-emotional outcomes. The elementary school journal, 121(4), 635-655. https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/714065
- 29. Edossa, A. K., Schroeders, U., Weinert, S., & Artelt, C. (2018). The development of emotional and behavioral self-regulation and their effects on academic achievement in childhood. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 42(2), 192-202. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0165025416687412
- 30. Spinrad, T. L., & Gal, D. E. (2018). Fostering prosocial behavior and empathy in young children. Current opinion in psychology, 20, 40-44. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2352250X17301288

- 31. Harrington, E. M., Trevino, S. D., Lopez, S., & Giuliani, N. R. (2020). Emotion regulation in early childhood: Implications for socioemotional and academic components of school readiness. Emotion, 20(1), 48. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2020-03346-008
- 32. Shoshani, A., & Slone, M. (2017). Positive education for young children: Effects of a positive psychology intervention for preschool children on subjective well being and learning behaviors. Frontiers in psychology, 8, 1866. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01866/full
- McLeod, B. D., Sutherland, K. S., Martinez, R. G., Conroy, M. A., Snyder, P. A., & Southam-Gerow, M. A. (2017). Identifying common practice elements to improve social, emotional, and behavioral outcomes of young children in early childhood classrooms. Prevention Science, 18, 204-213. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11121-016-0703-y
- 34. Berkovits, L., Eisenhower, A., & Blacher, J. (2017). Emotion regulation in young children with autism spectrum disorders. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 47, 68-79. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-016-2922-2
- 35. Grolnick, W. S., Caruso, A. J., & Levitt, M. R. (2019). Parenting and children's self-regulation. In Handbook of parenting (pp. 34-64). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429401695-2/parenting-childrenself-regulation-wendy-grolnick-alessandra-caruso-madeline-levitt
- 36. Kuppens, S., & Ceulemans, E. (2019). Parenting styles: A closer look at a well-known concept. Journal of child and family studies, 28, 168-181. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10826-018-1242-x
- 37. Sanders, M. R., & Turner, K. M. (2018). The importance of parenting in influencing the lives of children. Handbook of parenting and child development across the lifespan, 3-26. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-94598-9_1
- 38. Grusec, J. E., Danyliuk, T., Kil, H., & O'Neill, D. (2017). Perspectives on parent discipline and child outcomes. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 41(4), 465-471. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0165025416681538
- Solomon, D. T., Niec, L. N., & Schoonover, C. E. (2017). The impact of foster parent training on parenting skills and child disruptive behavior: A meta-analysis. Child maltreatment, 22(1), 3-13. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1077559516679514
- 40. Patterson, G. R., & Brodsky, G. (2017). Behavior modification for a child with multiple problem behaviors. In Behavior Therapy with Children (pp. 318-339). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781351314442-31/behavior-modification-child-multiple-problem-behaviors-patterson-brodsky
- 41. Bal, A. (2018). Culturally responsive positive behavioral interventions and supports: A process-oriented framework for systemic transformation. Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies, 40(2), 144-174. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10714413.2017.1417579
- 42. Hajal, N. J., & Paley, B. (2020). Parental emotion and emotion regulation: A critical target of study for research and intervention to promote child emotion socialization. Developmental psychology, 56(3), 403. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2020-11553-003
- 43. Crowell, J. A., Keluskar, J., & Gorecki, A. (2019). Parenting behavior and the development of children with autism spectrum disorder. Comprehensive psychiatry, 90, 21-29. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010440X18301925
- 44. Steege, M. W., Pratt, J. L., Wickerd, G., Guare, R., & Watson, T. S. (2019). Conducting school-based functional behavioral assessments: A practitioner's guide. Guilford Publications. Grusec, J. E., & Davidov, M. (2019). Parent socialization and children's values. In Handbook of parenting (pp. 762-796). Routledge.

- 45. Palmer, C. A., & Alfano, C. A. (2017). Sleep and emotion regulation: An organizing, integrative review. Sleep medicine reviews, 31, 6-16. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1087079216000046
- 46. McCoy, D. C. (2019). Measuring young children's executive function and self-regulation in classrooms and other real-world settings. Clinical child and family psychology review, 22, 63-74. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10567-019-00285-1
- 47. Närhi, V., Kiiski, T., & Savolainen, H. (2017). Reducing disruptive behaviours and improving classroom behavioural climate with class-wide positive behaviour support in middle schools. British educational research journal, 43(6), 1186-1205. https://bera-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/berj.3305
- Hanson, J. L., van den Bos, W., Roeber, B. J., Rudolph, K. D., Davidson, R. J., & Pollak, S. D. (2017). Early adversity and learning: implications for typical and atypical behavioral development. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 58(7), 770-778. https://acamh.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jcpp.12694
- 49. Hesketh, K. R., Lakshman, R., & van Sluijs, E. M. (2017). Barriers and facilitators to young children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative literature. Obesity Reviews, 18(9), 987-1017. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/obr.12562
- 50. Valcan, D. S., Davis, H., & Pino-Pasternak, D. (2018). Parental behaviours predicting early childhood executive functions: A meta-analysis. Educational Psychology Review, 30, 607-649. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10648-017-9411-9
- 51. Lewis, T. J., McIntosh, K., Simonsen, B., Mitchell, B. S., & Hatton, H. L. (2017). Schoolwide systems of positive behavior support: Implications for students at risk and with emotional/behavioral disorders. Aera Open, 3(2), 2332858417711428. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2332858417711428
- 52. Collins, W. A., & Madsen, S. D. (2019). Parenting during middle childhood. In Handbook of parenting (pp. 81-110). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429440847-3/parenting-middle-childhood-andrew-collins-stephanie-madsen
- 53. Datalink https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/sushrutha123/synthetic-child-learning-and-development-dataset