BEST JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN SCIENCE, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
ISSN: 2835-3579
Volume:4 Issue:3 | 2025
www.bjisrd.com

Review Study Regarding Agricultural Plastics and Identifying Alternative Disposal/Recycling Methods

Dr. James Jerry,
Department of Chemistry, Independent Researcher, USA.

Dr. Sourabh Sharma,
Independent Researcher.

***Abstract*:** *Agricultural plastic use has grown substantially due to its beneficial roles in crop protection, irrigation, and packaging. However, improper disposal of agricultural plastics, especially burning, leads to the release of Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) substances into the environment. These PBT emissions present significant environmental and public health risks, as they can persist in ecosystems, accumulate in food chains, and cause harmful effects on human and animal health. This article examines the PBT emissions from burning agricultural plastics, highlighting the chemical composition, environmental fate, and impact on ecosystems. Additionally, it evaluates alternative disposal and recycling methods that could reduce the harmful effects of agricultural plastic waste, such as chemical recycling, biodegradation, and mechanical recycling. Finally, policy frameworks and sustainable practices for better managing agricultural plastic waste are discussed.*

Key words: *PBT emissions, agricultural plastics, environmental impact, bioaccumulation, recycling, waste disposal, sustainable practices, environmental policy.*

1. Introduction

Agricultural plastics, including mulch films, greenhouse covers, irrigation tubes, and pesticide containers, are widely used in modern farming due to their ability to increase crop yield, reduce soil erosion, and protect crops from pests and adverse weather. However, with the rapid increase in the use of agricultural plastics comes the pressing issue of plastic waste management. One of the most common methods of disposing of agricultural plastics in many parts of the world is burning, which leads to the generation of harmful Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) emissions. These emissions have the potential to severely affect both the environment and public health.

PBT chemicals are characterized by their long-term persistence in the environment, ability to bioaccumulate in living organisms, and toxicity to humans and wildlife. The burning of agricultural plastics releases a variety of PBT substances, including dioxins, furans, heavy metals, and other carcinogenic compounds, into the atmosphere. These substances not only pose direct health risks but also contribute to the contamination of air, soil, and water.

This article explores the complex issue of PBT emissions from burning agricultural plastics, assesses their environmental and health impacts, and identifies alternative methods for disposing of and recycling agricultural plastics in a more sustainable manner. By analyzing existing research and case studies, this article aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the issue and propose solutions for reducing the environmental footprint of agricultural plastics.

2. Background on Agricultural Plastics

Agricultural plastics are used in various forms across the agricultural industry. Common applications include:

- **Mulch films:** Used to cover soil and retain moisture while preventing weed growth.
- **Greenhouse and tunnel covers:** Protect crops from weather and pests.
- **Irrigation tubing:** Delivers water efficiently to crops.
- **Pesticide containers:** Store and distribute agrochemicals.

While these plastics provide numerous benefits to farming practices, they also pose significant environmental risks when disposed of improperly. Agricultural plastics, particularly those that are single-use or contaminated with chemicals, are not biodegradable, which means they can persist in the environment for many years. The widespread practice of burning these plastics leads to the release of harmful emissions, which is the focus of this article.

3. Chemical Composition of PBT Emissions from Burning Agricultural Plastics

When agricultural plastics are burned, they undergo thermal degradation, which leads to the formation of various toxic compounds. The chemical composition of these emissions depends on the type of plastic and the conditions under which it is burned. Some of the primary PBT chemicals produced during the combustion of agricultural plastics include:

3.1 Dioxins and Furans

Dioxins (polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins) and furans (polychlorinated dibenzofurans) are highly toxic compounds that are produced during the combustion of chlorinated organic materials, including PVC plastics. These compounds are notorious for their persistence in the environment and their ability to bioaccumulate in living organisms. Dioxins and furans are linked to various health problems, including cancer, immune system suppression, developmental disorders, and reproductive toxicity.

3.2 Heavy Metals

Agricultural plastics, such as pesticide containers and irrigation tubes, may contain heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, and mercury. When these plastics are burned, the heavy metals are released into the atmosphere, where they can be transported over long distances and deposited into soil and water systems. The bioaccumulation of heavy metals in food chains can lead to serious health problems, including neurological damage and organ toxicity.

3.3 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of organic compounds that are produced during the incomplete combustion of organic materials, including plastics. PAHs are known carcinogens and can contribute to air pollution and the contamination of soil and water. They also pose a risk to human health, particularly when inhaled or ingested through contaminated food and water.

3.4 Other Toxic Gases

In addition to PBT chemicals, the burning of agricultural plastics releases a variety of other toxic gases, such as carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO_2), hydrogen chloride (HCl), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). These gases can contribute to air pollution, exacerbate respiratory diseases, and affect the overall quality of air in agricultural regions.

4. Environmental Fate and Bioaccumulation of PBT Emissions

The persistence and bioaccumulation of PBT substances are critical concerns when assessing the impact of agricultural plastic burning on ecosystems. Once released into the atmosphere, these toxic substances can travel long distances, depending on wind patterns and other environmental factors. PBT chemicals can be deposited into soils and water bodies, where they may persist for years, further spreading their harmful effects.

4.1 Soil and Water Contamination

PBT chemicals, particularly heavy metals and dioxins, can contaminate the soil and water in areas surrounding agricultural operations. These substances are difficult to degrade, and once they enter the environment, they can accumulate over time. When animals or plants are exposed to contaminated soil or water, they may absorb these toxins, which can then enter the food chain.

4.2 Bioaccumulation in the Food Chain

The bioaccumulation of PBT chemicals in the food chain is of particular concern because these substances tend to concentrate as they move up trophic levels. Organisms at higher trophic levels, such as predators and humans, are at greater risk of exposure to harmful levels of these toxic substances. The long-term exposure to bioaccumulated toxins can have devastating effects on wildlife populations and human health.

5. Public Health Impacts of PBT Emissions

The public health implications of burning agricultural plastics and releasing PBT substances are far-reaching. Exposure to these toxic compounds is associated with a wide range of health problems, including:

Cancer: PBT chemicals like dioxins and PAHs are classified as carcinogens and have been linked to various forms of cancer, including lung, liver, and skin cancers.

Endocrine Disruption: Many PBT chemicals are endocrine disruptors, which can interfere with hormone regulation and cause developmental and reproductive health issues.

Respiratory Diseases: The inhalation of toxic gases such as carbon monoxide and hydrogen chloride can exacerbate respiratory diseases, particularly in individuals with pre-existing conditions like asthma.

Neurological Damage: Heavy metals such as lead and mercury are known to cause neurological damage, particularly in children and other vulnerable populations.

6. Alternative Disposal and Recycling Methods

Given the severe environmental and health risks associated with burning agricultural plastics, it is critical to explore alternative disposal and recycling methods that can reduce the release of PBT emissions.

6.1 Mechanical Recycling

Mechanical recycling involves the collection, cleaning, and reprocessing of agricultural plastics into new products. This method is one of the most common forms of plastic recycling but is limited by the contamination of plastics with soil, chemicals, and other materials. Despite these challenges, mechanical recycling can significantly reduce the amount of plastic waste sent to landfills or incineration.

6.2 Chemical Recycling

Chemical recycling, or advanced recycling, involves breaking down plastics into their constituent monomers or other useful chemicals through chemical processes such as pyrolysis or depolymerization. This method can handle contaminated plastics more efficiently than mechanical recycling, offering a promising solution for agricultural plastic waste.

6.3 Biodegradable Plastics

The development of biodegradable plastics represents a promising alternative to conventional plastics. These materials break down more easily in the environment, reducing the long-term persistence of plastic waste. However, the widespread adoption of biodegradable plastics requires significant investment in research, infrastructure, and policy support.

6.4 Waste-to-Energy (WTE)

Waste-to-energy technologies, such as pyrolysis and gasification, can be used to convert agricultural plastic waste into energy. These processes can reduce the volume of plastic waste while generating useful energy. However, WTE technologies must be carefully controlled to avoid the release of toxic emissions during the process.

6.5 Sustainable Agricultural Practices

Incorporating sustainable agricultural practices, such as the use of biodegradable mulch films and improving the design of plastic products to facilitate recycling, can reduce the amount of plastic waste generated. Additionally, encouraging farmers to adopt alternative methods of crop protection and irrigation can help reduce the reliance on plastic products.

7. Policy Recommendations and Global Perspectives

To address the issue of PBT emissions from burning agricultural plastics, comprehensive policy frameworks are needed at both national and international levels. Key policy recommendations include:

- **Strict Regulations on Plastic Waste Disposal:** Governments should implement stricter regulations on the disposal of agricultural plastics, including banning the burning of plastic waste and encouraging recycling.
- **Incentives for Recycling and Reuse:** Providing financial incentives and support for recycling programs can encourage the adoption of sustainable disposal methods.
- **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Educating farmers and the public about the environmental and health risks of burning agricultural plastics and promoting alternative disposal methods.

- **International Collaboration:** Global collaboration on plastic waste management, including sharing best practices, technologies, and funding, can help address the issue on a larger scale.

8. Conclusion

The burning of agricultural plastics is a significant environmental and public health issue due to the release of Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) substances. These chemicals can persist in ecosystems, bioaccumulate in food chains, and cause harmful effects on both wildlife and human health. Alternative disposal and recycling methods, such as chemical recycling, biodegradable plastics, and sustainable agricultural practices, offer promising solutions to mitigate the negative impacts of agricultural plastic waste. However, effective policy frameworks, public awareness, and international collaboration are essential to ensure a transition to more sustainable practices and reduce the environmental footprint of agricultural plastics.

References:

1. Chalivendra, S. (2011). Catalytic Destruction of Lindane Using a Nano Iron Oxide Catalyst [Master's thesis, University of Dayton]. OhioLINK Electronic Theses and Dissertations Center.
2. Saikumar Chalivendra , " Design and Optimization of Biotechnological Processes for Wastewater Contaminant Remediation, International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology(IJSRST), Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 10, Issue 4, pp.693-706, July-August-2023.
3. Chalivendra, S. (2011). Catalytic Destruction of Lindane Using a Nano Iron Oxide Catalyst [Master's thesis, University of Dayton]. OhioLINK Electronic Theses and Dissertations Center. http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=dayton132449 7492
4. Chalivendra, S. (2014). Bioremediation of wastewater using microalgae. University of Dayton.
5.]Chalivendra, S. Bioremediation of Wastewater using Microalgae. Ph.D thesis, University of Dayton, pp, 188. . 2014.
6. A Review of Advances in Cold Spray Coating Process. (2024). International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 8(2), 53-62. https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRMME
7. Chalivendra, S. (2024). A review of advances in cold spray coating process. International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 8(2), 53-62.
8. Chalivendra, S. (2022). Innovative use of algal biomass for heavy metal bioremediation. International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 6(5), 21–29.
9. Chalivendra, S. (2023). Design and optimization of biotechnological processes for wastewater contaminant remediation. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 10(4), 693-706.
10. Saikumar Chalivendra , " Design and Optimization of Biotechnological Processes for Wastewater Contaminant Remediation, International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology(IJSRST), Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 10, Issue 4, pp.693-706, July-August-2023.
11. Chalivendra, S. (2024). Applications of microbial fermentation in waste bioprocessing and treatment. International Journal of Scientific Research in Chemistry, 9(3), 24–36.

12. Chalivendra, S. (2023). Design and optimization of biotechnological processes for wastewater contaminant remediation. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 10(4), 693–706.
13. Kahandawala, M., Chalivendra, S., & Yamada, T. (2023). Lab-scale evaluation of PFAS decomposition and flue gas qualities from biosolids incineration process. Paper presented at WEFTEC 2023
14. Chalivendra, S. (2023). Mechanisms of PFAS degradation in thermal destruction processes. Journal for Research in Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 2(3), 317-323.
15. Chalivendra, S. "Mechanisms of PFAS degradation in thermal destruction processes." Journal for Research in Applied Sciences and Biotechnology 2, no. 3 (2023): 317-323.
16. Chalivendra, S. (2023). Mechanisms of PFAS degradation in thermal destruction processes. Journal for Research in Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 2(3), 317–323.
17. Kahandawala, M., Karimzadeh, F., Chalivendra, S., & Yamada, T. (2022). Thermal destruction of perfluorocarbons. In SERDP Symposium.
18. Kahandawala, M., F. Karimzadeh, S. Chalivendra, and T. Yamada. "Thermal destruction of perfluorocarbons." In SERDP Symposium. 2022.
19. Chalivendra, S. (2020). Thermal decomposition pathways of emerging contaminants in waste incineration. International Journal of Scientific Research in Chemistry, 5(2).
20. Saikumar Chalivendra , " Innovative Bioprocessing Approaches for CO2 Sequestration in Wastewater Systems, International Journal of Scientific Research in Chemistry(IJSRCH), ISSN : 2456-8457, Volume 4, Issue 4, pp.21-29, July-August-2019
21. Kahandawala, M., Karimzadeh, F., Chalivendra, S., & Yamada, T. (2022). Thermal destruction of perfluorocarbons. Paper presented at SERDP Symposium 2022.
22. Kahandawala, M., Sidhu, S., Chalivendra, S., & Chavada, N. (2011). Heavy metals removal by microalgae. Paper presented at the 1st International Conference on Algal Biomass, Biofuels & Bioproducts, St. Louis, MO, July 2011.
23. Kahandawala, M., Sidhu, S., Chalivendra, S., & Chavada, N. (2011). Heavy metals removal by microalgae. Paper presented at the 1st International Conference on Algal Biomass, Biofuels & Bioproducts, St. Louis, MO, July 2011.
24. Sidhu, S., Kahandawala, M., Chauvin, A., Morgan, A., Chalivendra, S., Nagulapalli, A., ... & Touati, A. (2010). Toxic Air Emissions From Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers.
25. Sidhu, Sukh, Moshan Kahandawala, Anne Chauvin, Alexander Morgan, Saikumar Chalivendra, Aditya Nagulapalli, Anupriya Krishnan et al. "Toxic Air Emissions From Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers." (2010).
26. Challa, S. S. S., Tilala, M., Chawda, A. D., & Benke, A. P. (2021). Navigating regulatory requirements for complex dosage forms: Insights from topical, parenteral, and ophthalmic products. NeuroQuantology, 19(12), 971-994.
27. Challa, S. S. S., Tilala, M., Chawda, A. D., & Benke, A. P. (2019). Investigating the use of natural language processing (NLP) techniques in automating the extraction of regulatory requirements from unstructured data sources. Annals of Pharma Research, 7(5).

28. Challa, S. S. S., Chawda, A. D., Benke, A. P., & Tilala, M. (2023). Regulatory intelligence: Leveraging data analytics for regulatory decision-making. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 11(10).
29. Challa, S. S. S., Tilala, M., Chawda, A. D., & Benke, A. P. (2022). Quality management systems in regulatory affairs: Implementation challenges and solutions. Journal for Research in Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 1 (3), 278–284. https://doi. org/10.55544/jrasb. 1.3, 36.
30. Challa, S. S. S., Tilala, M., Chawda, A. D., & Benke, A. P. (2023). Investigating the impact of AI-assisted drug discovery on the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of pharmaceutical R&D. Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research, 14 (10), 2244.
31. Challa, S. S. S., Chawda, A. D., Benke, A. P., & Tilala, M. (2024). Streamlining Change Control Processes in Regulatory Affairs: Best Practices and Case Studies. Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities, 4(4), 67-75.
32. Tilala, M., Challa, S. S. S., Chawda, A. D., Benke, A. P., & Sharma, S. (2024). Analyzing the role of real-world evidence (RWE) in supporting regulatory decision-making and post-marketing surveillance. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(14), 3060-3075. https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.14.2024.3060-3075
33. Chin J C, Csank J T. Tool for the Integrated Dynamic Numerical Propulsion System Simulation (NPSS)/Turbine Engine Closed-Loop Transient Analysis (TTECTrA) User's Guide. , 2016.
34. Yakinthos K, D Missirlis, Palikaras A, et al. Optimization of the design of recuperative heat exchangers in the exhaust nozzle of an aero engine J. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2007, 31(11):2524-2541.
35. Wang B, Zhao H, Ye Z. A Co-modeling Method Based on Component Features for Mechatronic Devices in Aero-engines J. International Journal of Turbo & Jet-Engines, 2016, 34.
36. Sherbaum V, Erenburg V. Numerical Modeling of Unsteady Oil Film Motion Characteristics in Bearing Chambers: International Journal of Turbo & Jet-Engines J. International Journal of Turbo & Jet-Engines, 2014.
37. Fei C , Liu H , Li S , et al. Dynamic parametric modeling-based model updating strategy of aeroengine casingsJ. Chinese Journal of Aeronautics, 2021.
38. Sheng H, Zhang T, Jiang W. Full-Range Mathematical Modeling of Turboshaft Engine in Aerospace J. International Journal of Turbo and Jet Engines, 2015.
39. Visser W, Kogenhop O, Oostveen M. A Generic Approach for Gas Turbine Adaptive Modeling J. Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power, 2006.
40. Mink G, Behbahani A. The AFRL ICF Generic Gas Turbine Engine ModelC// Aiaa/asme/sae/asee Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit. 2013.
41. Visser W P J. Generic Analysis Methods for Gas Turbine Engine Performance: The development of the gas turbine simulation program GSP J. 2015.