### Knowledge of Nursing College Students on Preventive Measures for Irritable Bowel Syndrome: Pre-Experimental Study

### Maher A. Atiyah

Fundamental of Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq

#### Abstract:

**Background:** A common condition known as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is characterised by changed bowel habits and stomach pain that lasts for three months or more. Anatomical or metabolic abnormalities are not associated with the illness.

**Objectives:** to assess how well nursing college students understand IBS preventative methods after participating in an educational programme

**Method:** pre-experimental study design (one group pretest and posttest). The sample were 20 students, participate in 3 sessions educational program and data collected by questionnaire consisted of 43 items.

**Results:** The pre-test mean score and standard deviation are  $1.27\pm0.032$ , indicating a low level of assessment for 18 (90%) of the sample, whereas 10 (50%) of the sample have a moderate level of assessment. At the post-test, the mean score and standard deviation are  $1.68\pm0.058$ , respectively. Students' age and gender have no discernible bearing on the information they possess.

**Recommendation:** The researcher suggests conducting ongoing educational programmes for students about IBS preventive measures to raise their position of understanding about how to teach how patients to manage this syndrome, as well as increasing the length and frequency of IBS lectures and having lectures in multiple courses.

**Conclusion:** The training programme was successful in preparing nursing college students. Students' awareness of Irritable Bowel Syndrome prevention techniques.

Keywords: Knowledge, Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Preventive Measures, Nursing College Student.

### Introduction

One prevalent condition is irritable bowel syndrome, or IBS. marked by altered bowel habits and stomach pain that lasts for three months or more. The sickness does not include any anomalies in the body's anatomy or metabolism. <sup>(1)</sup> In general, irritable bowel syndrome is frequent; in European nations, its prevalence was around Twenty percent; recently, the morbidity rate in Asian nations has been increasing annually, approaching that of Western countries. <sup>(2)</sup>

The frequency of IBS, or irritable bowel syndrome, is 11.2% worldwide. Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) patients have a markedly reduced quality of life, and the condition has enormous financial and social repercussions. <sup>(3,4)</sup> The current state of medical care for Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is deemed inadequate, and the underlying pathophysiology of the condition remains unclear. The "gutbrain axis," a two-way communication channel between the gut and the brain, is assumed to be aberrant in IBS, and it may entail both mucosal and neuro-inflammation. <sup>(5,6)</sup>

Approximately 60% of individuals with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) claim that their diet either improves or worsens their symptoms. <sup>(7)</sup> The most crucial step towards obtaining a good diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome is a change in the shape and frequency of faeces. These alterations made it possible to divide irritable bowel syndrome into subgroups according to the most common patterns of stool experience. <sup>(8)</sup> Dietary research has spent the past ten years examining the connection between the

induction of IBS symptoms and fermentable oligo-, di-, and mono-saccharides and polyols, or FODMAPs. Reducing dietary FODMAPs has been shown to be beneficial in treating IBS symptoms by a large body of research.<sup>(9)</sup>

### Methodology

The purpose of the pre-experimental (one group) study design was to compare how well the educational programme affected nursing students' understanding of the second IBS at Al-Basra University's nursing college. The sample includes (20) students study group from the second stage. They are selected by using non- probability sampling (purposive sample) from nursing college. The study instrument consists of 2 parts, demographic data (gende, age, Marital status, Monthly income, Meals eaten) and items of students' knowledge about preventive measures of irritable bowel syndrome. It is consisted of (43 items). The items were graded and scored using a two-level dichotomous scale: (1) point for an incorrect response and (2) points for a correct answer. The scores were calculated using a cutoff point of 0.33, with points awarded for correct and incorrect answers, respectively. The scores of the answers are divided into groups based on the nurses' knowledge of the following: (1–1.33) denotes a low degree of knowledge, 1.34–1.67, a moderate level, and 1.68–2.00, a high level. The data collect as pretest, implementation of an educational program (include 3 sessions) and posttest. The data collected by self-administrated method after taken the sample consent. The data analysis by using SPSS program and using of descriptive and inferential statistical analysis.

### Results

	X7	Study	group	Control group			
	Variables	f	%	f	%		
	Female	12	60	11	55		
Gender	Male	8	40	9	45		
	Total	20	100	20	100		
	20-21	13	65	13	65		
Age	22-23	7	35	7	35		
	Total	20	100	20	100		
	Single	12	60	11	55		
Marital status	Married	6	30	7	35		
Warnar status	Divorced	2	10	2	10		
	Total	20	100	20	100		
Monthly	Enough	11	55	12	60		
income	Not enough	9	45	8	40		
meome	Total	20	100	20	100		
	Fast food ready	7	35	5	25		
Maala aatan	Home cooking	10	50	11	55		
ivicais eaten	Canned food	3	15	4	20		
	Total	20	100	20	100		

Table (1) distribution of the study sample by the general information

### F=frequency, %=percent

This table shows that females more than males in the study and control group (60%, 55%) respectively, 65% of them at age (20-21) years for the both group, (60%, 55%) of the both groups were single, (55%, 60%) of both groups had enough monthly income and (50%, 55%) of them had eaten home cooking.

Doniad	Lovel of accomment						
reriou	Level of assessment	Frequency	Percent				
	Low (1-1.33)	18	90				
	Moderate (1.34-1.67)	2	10				
Pretest	High (1.68-2)	-	-				
	Total	20	100				
	$\overline{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{S}.\mathbf{D}$	1.27+0.032					
	Low (1-1.33)	-	-				
	Moderate (1.34-1.67)	10	50				
Posttest	High (1.68-2)	10	50				
	Total	20	100				
	$\overline{x} \neq S.D$	1.68	<del>+</del> 0.058				

# Table (2): Students' IBS Levels of Knowledge Distribution are determined by using the "Mean of<br/>Score" throughout the programme (pre- and post-test).

### $\bar{x} \neq S. D.=Arithmetic Mean (\bar{x}) and Std. Dev. (S.D.)$

According to the study's findings, 18 (90%) of the sample had low assessment levels at the level (1.00–1.33). The pre-test mean score and standard deviation were  $1.27\pm0.032$ . A moderate degree of evaluation is present. of 10 (50 %) at level (1.34-1.67) and high level for 10 (50%) at level (1.68-2) of the sample the mean of score and standard deviation are (1.68±0.058) at the post test.

## Table (3) comparison between the Nursing students' knowledge of general information aboutIrritable Bowel Syndrome at the pretest and posttest

N.	Tkomo			Pre	etest		Posttest			
INO.	Items		f	%	M.S	Ass	f	%	M.S	Ass
1	Irritable bowel syndrome IBS is	Un-correct	12	60.0	1.40	м	2	10.0	1.00	н
1	Inflable bower syndrome fb5 is	Correct	8	40.0	1.40	IVI	18	90.0	1.90	11
2	Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is more common	Un-correct	12	60.0	1.40	м	6	30.0	1 70	н
2	in	Correct	8	40.0	1.40	IVI	14	70.0	1.70	11
3	Other names for irritable bowel syndrome include	Un-correct	14	70.0	1 30	T	7	35.0	1 65	м
5	all of the following except for	Correct	6	30.0	1.50	Ľ	13	65.0	1.05	101
4	Which is not considered a risk factor for Irritable	Un-correct	13	65.0	1 35	м	8	40.0	1.60	м
-	Bowel Syndrome:	Correct	7	35.0	1.55	IVI	12	60.0	1.00	IVI
5	Whichever is not considered a type of Irritable	Un-correct	19	95.0	1.05	T	11	55.0 1.45	м	
5	Bowel Syndrome includes	Correct	1	5.0	1.05	L	9	45.0	1.45	IVI
	The physiological pathology of irritable bowel	Un-correct	16	80.0	1.20		8	40.0	1.60	
6	syndrome is due to several factors including the following except for	Correct	4	20.0		L	12	60.0		Μ
7		Un-correct	14	70.0			8	40.0	1.60	
	The distinctive sign of IBS is	Correct	6	30.0	1.30	L	12	60.0	1.60	М
	The pain associated with IBS often calms down		16	80.0	1.00	-	10	50.0	1.50	
8	during	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	10	50.0	1.50	Μ
0	Which of the following reduces symptoms of	Un-correct	15	75.0		т	8	40.0	1.60	м
9	Irritable Bowel Syndrome	Correct	5	25.0	1.25	L	12	60.0		М
10	Either is not a complication of Irritable Bowel	Un-correct	14	70.0	1 20	т	7	35.0	1.65	м
10	Syndrome (IBS	Correct	6	30.0	1.50	L	13	65.0	1.05	IVI
11	Which of the following statements about irritable	Un-correct	16	80.0	1.20	т	6	30.0	1 70	ш
11	bowel syndrome is correct	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	14	70.0	1.70	п
	Which of the following infections is associated	Un-correct	18	90.0			11	55.0		
12	with an increased prevalence of Irritable Bowel Syndrome	Correct	2	10.0	1.10	L	9	45.0	1.45	М
	Medicines that affect the function of the digestive	Un-correct	16	80.0			8	40.0		
13	system that may cause constipation include all of the following except	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	12	60.0	1.60	М
14	IBS can be diagnosed with all of the following	Un-correct	15	75.0	1.25	T	6	30.0	1 70	н
14	except for	Correct	5	25.0	1.23	L	14	70.0	1.70	п

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

15	Bacterial organisms responsible for most episodes	Un-correct	17	85.0	1 15	т	6	30.0	1 70	тт
15	of infectious diarrhea include	Correct	3	15.0	1.15	L	14	70.0	1.70	п
	In the event of diarrhea during irritable bowel	Un-correct	15	75.0			11	55.0		
16	syndrome, the treatment goals for diarrhea include all of the following except	Correct	5	25.0	1.25	L	9	45.0	1.45	Μ

# %= percent, f= frequency, M. S. stands for mean score. Ass.= mean score assessment level, H = high level (1.68–2), M = moderate level (1.34–1.67), and L = low level (1–1.33)

This table shows that the sample at the pretest had low level of all items except the items (1, 2 & 4) at moderate level of assessment. At the posttest had moderate level of all items except the items (1, 2, 11, 14 & 15) at high level of assessment

## Table (4) comparison between the nursing student knowledge about measures that reduce or<br/>prevent Irritable Bowel Syndrome at the pretest and posttest

No	Itoms			Pre	etest		Posttest				
INO.	Items		f	%	M.S	Ass	f	%	M.S	Ass	
1	Which of the following is not considered a	Un-correct	15	75.0	1 25	T.	4	20.0	1.80	н	
1	treatment for Irritable Bowel Syndrome	Correct	5	25.0	1.23	Ľ	16	80.0	1.00	11	
	Which of the following treatment measures is	Un-correct	12	60.0			6	30.0			
2	recommended to use in case of constipation	Correct	8	40.0	1.40	Μ	14	70.0	1.70	Н	
	associated with Irritable Bowel Syndrome	TT	10	50.0			-	25.0			
3	Which of the following is a treatment for pain	Un-correct	10	50.0	1.50	М	) 15	25.0	1.75	Н	
	associated with inflable bower syndrome?	Correct	10	50.0			15	/5.0			
4	Which of the following is a treatment for	Un-correct	16	80.0	1 20	т	8	40.0	1 (0	м	
4	constipation associated with irritable bowel	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	12	60.0	1.60	М	
	The number of using antihistics for imitable house	Un correct	17	85.0			7	25.0			
5	The purpose of using antibiotics for inflable bower	Correct	2	85.0 15.0	1.15	L	12	55.0	1.65	М	
	Treatment used to treat south diambas for a patient	Un correct	16	80.0			7	35.0			
6	with Irritable Bowel Syndrome	Correct	10	20.0	1.20	L	13	65.0	1.65	Μ	
	Which of the following does not improve	Un correct	12	20.0			5	25.0			
7	treatment for Irritable Bowel Syndrome?	Correct	12 8	40.0	1.40	Μ	15	25.0	1.75	Н	
	Which one of the following does not reduce stress	Un correct	14	70.0			7	35.0			
8	and problems related to IBS	Correct	6	30.0	1.30	L	13	65.0	1.65	Μ	
	Ecods that contain ingredients that can stimulate	Un correct	16	80.0				7	35.0		
9	the intestine and cause diarrhea include all of the	Ull-collect	10 00.0	1 20	T	/	55.0	1 65	м		
	following, except true?	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	Ľ	13	65.0	1.00	101	
10	Foods that trigger irritable bowel syndrome	Un-correct	15	75.0	1.05	T	6	30.0	1 70		
10	include all of the following except	Correct	5	25.0	1.25	L	14	70.0	1.70	Н	
	There are foods to avoid that may cause	Un-correct	16	80.0			6	30.0			
11	symptoms, such as cruciferous vegetables and				1 20	т			1 70	ш	
11	legumes, that include all of the following are true	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	14	70.0	1.70	п	
	except										
	Which of the following foods can you eat while	Un-correct	12	60.0			3	15.0			
12	following a low-FODMAP diet that includes all of	Correct	8	40.0	1.40	Μ	17	85.0	1.85	Н	
	the following except?										
10	Among the measures to control Irritable Bowel	Un-correct	16	80.0	1.00	Ŧ	1	5.0	1.05		
13	Syndrome is that the patient adapts his life to the	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	19	95.0	1.95	Н	
	disease through	TT	10	(0.0			2	15.0			
14	foods like and water and hat some in the same	Un-correct	12	60.0	1 40	М	3	15.0	1 05	LT	
14	meal?	Correct	8	40.0	1.40	IVI	17	85.0	1.85	п	
	meal?										

# f= frequency, %= percent, M. S.= mean score, Ass.= assessment level of mean score, L=low level (1-1.33), M=moderate level (1.34-1.67), H=high level (1.68-2)

#### cont. table

No	No				Pretest				Posttest			
INO.	Items		f	%	M.S	Ass	f	%	M.S	Ass		
15	Can stress and anxiety make irritable bowel	Un-correct	9	45.0	1 55	м	1	5.0	1.05	н		
15	syndrome worse?	Correct	11	55.0	1.55	111	19	95.0	1.75	11		
	To treat the stress that affects IBS patients, we	Un-correct	7	35.0			2	10.0				
16	follow healthy habits such as exercise and adequate sleep?	Correct	13	65.0	1.65	М	18	90.0	1.90	Н		
	Symptoms that may accompany Irritable Bowel	Un-correct	17	85.0			9	45.0				
17	Syndrome may include lack of sleep, lethargy and dyspareunia?	Correct	3	15.0	1.15	L	11	55.0	1.55	М		
	Should people with Irritable Bowel Syndrome	Un-correct	18	90.0			9	45.0				
18	have information about their general lifestyle, physical activity, and diet that leads to poor symptoms associated with the disease?	Correct	2	10.0	1.10	L	11	55.0	1.55	М		
	Should healthcare professionals discourage the use	Un-correct	14	70.0			8	40.0				
19	of aloe vera in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome?	Correct	6	30.0	1.30	L	12	60.0	1.60	М		
20	Irritable bowel syndrome does not lead to cancer	Un-correct	16	80.0	1 20	т	6	30.0	1 70	и		
20	or increase the risk of it?		4	20.0	1.20	L	14	70.0	1.70	п		
	Irritable bowel syndrome is a healthy disease, but	Un-correct	17	85.0			9	45.0				
21	attention must be paid to several things that may warrant further investigation. Among these are: Age over 45 years, unexplained weight loss?	Correct	3	15.0	1.15	L	11	55.0	1.55	М		
	One study showed that 70% of the general	Un-correct	14	70.0			8	40.0				
22	population has experienced gastrointestinal changes due to psychological stress, and that 45% of laxative users suffer from psychological stress?	Correct	6	30.0	1.30	L	12	60.0	1.60	М		
	Irritable bowel syndrome can be treated with	Un-correct	16	80.0			8	40.0				
23	alternative treatment that includes acupuncture, relaxation technique, probiotics, mint oil?	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	12	60.0	1.60	М		
24	Using soluble fiber helps reduce symptoms	Un-correct	13	65.0	1 35	м	5	25.0	1 75	ц		
24	completely, but not with pain?	Correct	7	35.0	1.55	IVI	15	75.0	1.75	11		
	Adhering to the FODMAP diet helps reduce 70%	Un-correct	13	65.0			3	15.0				
25	of the symptoms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome, which includes flatulence, abdominal pain, and stool excretion?	Correct	7	35.0	1.35	М	17	85.0	1.85	Н		
	It is noteworthy that dietary fiber is used as a	Un-correct	13	65.0			1	5.0				
26	treatment for diarrhea predominant in Irritable Bowel Syndrome.	Correct	7	35.0	1.35	М	19	95.0	1.95	Н		
	Irritable bowel syndrome symptoms can be	Un-correct	16	80.0			3	15.0				
27	controlled, but each person has different measures depending on the type of personality, work, effort, food, surroundings, etc.	Correct	4	20.0	1.20	L	17	85.0	1.85	Н		

# % = percent, f= frequency, M. S. stands for mean score. Ass.= mean score assessment level, H = high level (1.68–2), M = moderate level (1.34–1.67), and L = low level (1–1.33)

This table shows that the sample at the pretest had low level of all items except the items (2,3,7,12,14,15,16,24,25 & 26) at moderate level of assessment. at the posttest had high level of all items except the items (4,5,6,8,9,17,18,19,21,22 & 23) at moderate level of assessment

### Table (5) relationship between nursing student's knowledge toward IBS and their demographic characteristics at the pretest and posttest

Variables			Pre	etest		Posttest						
		Low	Moderate	Total	Chi	Low	Moderate	Total	Chi			
	Female	10	1	11	$X^2 = 0.669$	8	3	11	$X^2 = 0.087$			
Gender	Male	7	2	9	d.f=1	6	3	9	d.f=1			
	Total	17	3	20	P=0.413	14	6	20	P=0.76			

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

	20-21	12	1	13	$X^2 = 1.55$	10	3	13	$X^2 = 0.848$
Age	22-23	5	2	7	d.f=1	4	3	7	d.f=1
	Total	17	3	20	P=0.212	14	6	20	P=0.35
	Single	11	0	11	$v^2$ 4 974	8	3	11	$v^2$ 0.42
Marital	Married	5	2	7	$X^{-}=4.8/4$	5	2	7	$X^{-}=0.42$
status	Divorced	1	1	2	0.1=2	1	1	2	u.1=2
	Total	17	3	20	F-0.08	14	6	20	P=0.808
	Enough	11	1	12	$v^2$ 1.04	9	3	12	X <sup>2</sup> =0.357 d.f=1
Monthly income	Not enough	6	2	8	d.f=1	5	3	8	
	Total	17	3	20	P=0.306	14	6	20	P=0.55
	Fast food ready	4	1	5		3	2	5	
Meals eaten	Home cooking	9	2	11	$X^2 = 0.89$ d.f=2	8	3	11	$X^2 = 0.325$ d.f=2
	Canned food	4	0	4	P=0.64	3	1	4	P=0.85
	Total	17	3	20		14	6	20	

### *X*<sup>2</sup>=chi square, d.f=degree of freedom, P= p-value

Table 5: There is no significant relationship between the nursing student's knowledge toward IBS and their demographic characteristics at the pretest and posttest

### **DISCUSSION:**

#### Part One: Students' Socio-Demographic Characteristics

#### 1. Age Groups

The majority (60.%) of participants in the survey were between the ages of 20 and 21. This data is in line with the study by Vasquez-Rios (2019), which found that most nurses (66.8%) were between the ages of 22 and 26. However, the results of a research on the prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome among medical students at Hail University in Saudi Arabia (Alshammari, 2018) contradict this conclusion. That study found that 36.8% of nurses between the ages of 24 and 26 had the illness. (10)(11)

### 2. Gender

The majority of participants (60%, 55%)in both the study and control groups were female, according to the current study's results on the gender of nurses. These findings are in line with research conducted in Baghdad city by Hassan & Najm (2016), which discovered that both the study and control groups included a majority of female participants (56.6%). As an alternative, Brown-Lieberson's (2019) findings, which revealed that most participants in his research sample (56.1%) both the research and control groups' participants were female, are consistent with these results. Nonetheless, the findings of this study conflict with those of another study carried out by Alshammari (2018), which showed that most participants (48.1%) were male. (10)(12)

### 3. Marital status

The majority of individuals in both the research and control groups are single (55%, 60%), according to the study's findings. This outcome is in line with a research by Alshammari (2018), which found that most individuals 95.5% of the research and control groups were made up of single people. Khan et al. (2019) reported that 43.4 percent of the sample consisted of single individuals, which is another unjustified finding. These results conflict with a research by Hassan (2010), which discovered that a minority of study participants—21.4%—were single in both the control and study groups. (13)

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

### 4. Monthly Income:

The majority of participants in both the study and control groups ((55%, 60%) do make enough money each month, according to the study's findings. This finding is conflict with research by Vasquez-Rios et al. (2019), which found that 51.5% of patients in both the study and control groups did not make enough money each month. However, this conclusion was in consist with a research conducted by Elhosseiny et al. (2019), which found that the majority of participants (57.5%) had sufficient monthly income. (14)

#### Part two: knowledge nursing students about preventive measures

In reference to The results indicate that there are statistically significant differences between nursing students' (second stage) understanding of IBS preventive measures and the study group's pre- and post-test results, but not in the control group's pre- and post-test results for any of the domains of preventative measures of irritable bowel syndrome, with the exception of prevention domains

This outcome is in line with a study by Najm and Hassan (2016), which examined the knowledgeenhancing effects of an instructional programme on patients with irritable bowel syndrome at a hospital for liver and digestive diseases in Baghdad. The study's participants reported that the programme was successful in raising their level of knowledge..

Additionally, the results of this study are in line with those of a 2015 study by Ghiyasvandian, which examined the impact of a self-care programme on the severity of symptoms and quality of life in IBS patients. That study found that implementing a self-care programme improved equality of life and reduced symptom severity in the group exposed to it, while no discernible change was seen in the group that was not exposed to the programme.(15)

Zheng et al.'s (2019) study corroborated the findings of the current study by demonstrating that students with irritable bowel syndrome can benefit from a health education programme. The study found that there were notable differences between the education group and the no education group.(16)

Additionally, the results of this study are in line with a study by Mahmoudi et al. (2019) that assessed community pharmacists' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding IBS in Iran. The study found that pharmacists who had completed IBS training courses answered correctly to every question, and that the training improved their ability to assess IBS patients because they are easily accessible healthcare professionals.(17)

This finding was corroborated by another study by Borji et al. (2012), which found that individuals with IBS had a considerably greater incidence of restless legs syndrome (RLS). Association Between Irritable Bowel Syndrome and Restless Legs Syndrome: A Comparative finding With Control Group.18)

Additionally, research that supports the findings of the current study was carried out in Alahsa, Saudi Arabia by Khan et al. (2019) to assess knowledge and related risk factors of irritable bowel syndrome. They found that programmes promoting IBS awareness are necessary to raise awareness, reduce functional disabilities, and improve quality of life.

Moreover, Bengtsson et al. (2010) estimated A Holistic Approach for Planning Care of Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome; however, their results were in conflict with the current study's conclusions, stating that there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in the current study or when compared to the participants who had GI disorders but without IBS. (19)

### Conclusion

The educational program has positive effect on the nursing college students at second stage, it is improving their knowledge from low level of assessment of 18 (90%) of the sample at level (1.00-1.33) the mean of score and standard deviation are  $(1.27\pm0.032)$  at the pre-test to moderate level of assessment of 10 (50%) at level (1.34-1.67) and high level for 10 (50%) at level (1.68-2) of the sample the mean of score and standard deviation are  $(1.68\pm0.058)$  at the post test. There is no significant

statistical relationship between nursing students' knowledge toward preventive measure of irritable bowel syndrome and their gender and age

#### **References:**

- 1. Yamamoto, M., Pinto-Sanchez, M. I., Bercik, P., & Britz-McKibbin, P. (2019). Metabolomics reveals elevated urinary excretion of collagen degradation and epithelial cell turnover products in irritable bowel syndrome patients. Metabolomics, 15(6), 82.
- Lacy, B. E., Mearin, F., Chang, L., Chey, W. D., Lembo, A. J., Simren, M., & Spiller, R. (2016). Bowel disorders. Gastroenterology, 150(6), 1393-1407
- Selvaratnam, S., Gullino, S., Shim, L., Lee, E., Lee, A., Paramsothy, S., & Leong, R. W. (2019). Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease in South America: A systematic review. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 25(47), 6866.
- 4. Trott, N., Aziz, I., Rej, A., & Surendran Sanders, D. (2019). How patients with IBS use low FODMAP dietary information provided by general practitioners and gastroenterologists: a qualitative study. Nutrients, 11(6), 1313.
- 5. O'Malley, D., Buckley, M., Leahy, A., & Stanton, C. (2017, August). 1| Bifidobacterium Breve NCFB 2258 stimulates vagal nerve firing across an intact colonic barrier. In NEUROGASTROENTEROLOGY AND MOTILITY (Vol. 29, pp. 3-3). 111 RIVER ST, HOBOKEN 07030-5774, NJ USA: WILEY.
- Chong, P. P., Chin, V. K., Looi, C. Y., Wong, W. F., Madhavan, P., & Yong, V. C. (2019). The Microbiome and Irritable Bowel Syndrome–A Review on the Pathophysiology, Current Research and Future Therapy. Frontiers in microbiology, 10, 1136.
- 7. D'Silva, A., MacQueen, G., Nasser, Y., Taylor, L. M., Vallance, J. K., & Raman, M. (2019). Yoga as a Therapy for Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 1-12
- 8. Blake, M. R., Raker, J. M., & Whelan, K. (2016). Validity and reliability of the Bristol Stool Form Scale in healthy adults and patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics, 44(7), 693-703.
- 9. Camilleri, M., Kashyap, P., & Grover, M. (2017). Future directions in functional gastrointestinal disorders-microbiota, faecal transplants and pharmaceutical approaches. Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: A biopsychosocial approach.
- Vasquez-Rios, G., Machicado, J. D., Ticse, R., Ruiz, E. F., Gamero, M. T., Pezua, A., ... & Tagle, M. (2019). Stress and a sedentary lifestyle are associated with irritable bowel syndrome in medical students from Peru: a cross-sectional study. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology, 31(11), 1322-1327.
- Alshammari, O. M., Almuslam, A. S., Alrashidi, A. A., Alharbi, K. F. O., Alqasem, A. A., Aljubour, Z. A., ... & Alshammari, H. M. (2018). Prevalence of Irritable Bowel Syndrome among Medical Students in Hail University, Saudi Arabia. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 71(2), 2581-2584.
- Hassan, H. B., & Najm, M. A. (2016). Effectiveness of an Instructional Program concerning Knowledge on clients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome in Liver and Digestive Disease Hospital at Baghdad City. kufa Journal for Nursing sciences, 6(1), 182-191.
- Khan, A. S., Al Sayegh, H. A., Al Ali, M. M., Al Qurini, A. A., AlKhars, H. F., & AlKhars, A. A. (2019). Assessment of knowledge and related risk factors of irritable bowel syndrome in Alahsa, Saudi Arabia. Int J Med Dev Ctries, 3(1), 30-35.
- 14. Elhosseiny, D., Mahmoud, N. E., & Manzour, A. F. (2019). Factors associated with irritable bowel syndrome among medical students in Ain Shams University. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association, 94(1), 23.

- 15. Ghiyasvandian, S., Ghorbani, M., Zakerimoghadam, M., Purfarzad, Z., & Kazemnejad, A. (2016). The effects of a self-care program on the severity of symptoms and quality of life of patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology Nursing, 39(5), 359-365.
- 16. Zheng, T. (2019). Identification and functional characterization of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) risk genes and variants.
- 17. Mahmoudi, L., Shafiekhani, M., Dehghanpour, H., & Niknam, R. (2019). Community pharmacists' knowledge, attitude, and practice of Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS): the impact of training courses. Advances in medical education and practice, 10, 427.
- 18. Borji, R., Fereshtehnejad, S. M., Vakili, S. T. T., Daryani, N. E., & Ajdarkosh, H. (2012). Association between irritable bowel syndrome and restless legs syndrome: a comparative study with control group. Journal of neurogastroenterology and motility, 18(4), 426.
- 19. Bengtsson, M., Ulander, K., Börgdal, E. B., & Ohlsson, B. (2010). A holistic approach for planning care of patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology Nursing, 33(2), 98-108.