



Search in mail



- Compose
- Mail
- Inbox
- Chat
- Starred
- Spaces
- Sent
- Drafts** 1
- Meet
- More

Labels

Re: [IJLTER] Editor Decision External Inbox x



IJLTER .ORG ijlter.org@gmail.com via ijhss.net
to me, Slamet, Syafi'ul, Suhartono

Yuli Astutik:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, "'I Can Teach with My Videos" How Do Teachers Teach English To Young Learners in a Technology-Limited Environment?".

Our decision is to: accept the paper if the requested changes are made

IJLTER .ORG
ijlter.org@gmail.com

Reviewer A:

Paper length::
Ok

Originality::
Nil

Scope of paper::
Not relevant to IJLTER

Related work::
Poor

Language::
you must invite native speaker for improve the quality of translation.

References::
ok

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

1. Abstract: the number of words exceeds the limit of 250 words. The structure of the content that appears in the abstract must be overhauled to fit the terms and describe the entire paper.

2. Introduction: You need to sharpen the gap of the theoretical-field problem again.

3. Method: you have described it in sub heading, but are not clear and not



Search in mail



- Compose
- Mail
- Inbox
- Chat
- Starred
- Spaces
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts** 1
- Meet
- More

Labels

3. Method: you have described it in sub-headings but are not clear and not detailed.

4. Results: The sub-headings in this section should be based on research questions, then presented in detail based on your findings.

5. Discussions: The sub-headings in this section should be based on research questions, then presented in detail based on your analysis of findings. see 19513

Reviewer F:

Paper length::
Quite long

Originality::
Good

Scope of paper::
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::
Acceptable

Language::
Need to be improved,Specifically the grammar

References::
sufficient.

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The authors did not clearly show the link between their study result and the previous studies. They can reduce and combine some subtitles in the literature review. For example the roles of teacher.

Reviewer H:

Paper length::
Quite long

Originality::
Acceptable



Search in mail



- Compose
- Mail
- Inbox
- Chat
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Spaces
- Sent
- Drafts** 1
- Meet
- More

Labels

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The paper is well written and can be considered for publication after proofreading.

Reviewer Q:

Paper length::
Ok

Originality::
Good

Scope of paper::
Highly relevant

Related work::
Acceptable

Language::
Appropriate and acceptable

References::
Adequate and recent and very much acceptable within the bounds of standard.

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

In the conclusion of the manuscript. Please make sure that it is aligned with the research questions that were presented in the Introduction part. Since it is the conclusion, it answers the research questions but in generalized manner. There is no need of too much explanation since the findings of the study has been discussed comprehesively in the other section of the manuscript.

It is suggested further to provide an Implication section for the study in order for readers to have the opportunity to replicate or recreate this type of study in the future.



Gmail

Search in mail



Mail

Compose

Chat

Inbox

Starred

Spaces

Snoozed

Sent

Meet

Drafts

More

Labels



IJLTER ORG <ijlter.org@gmail.com>

to me, Slamet, Syafi'ul, Suhartono

Dear Authors,

The decision for your paper is: **accept the paper if the requested changes are made.**

You need to do the following and submit the revised paper **by email by 20th July 2022** for possible publication in the **July 2022** issue if the requested changes are made. The paper will under

Editor's Note

1. Format the paper **strictly** according to the template (attached). Ensure that the main sections are numbers (1., 2., 3., etc), including the references section.

Add the orcid of all authors. If you do not have one, please create it on orcid.org

2. Follow APA style strictly for the references. **References must strictly follow APA format 7th edition, otherwise publication will be delayed.**

[Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, Seventh Edition \(2020\) \(apa.org\)](https://pubs.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0028240)

[Getting Started in APA 7th - APA 7th Referencing - Library Guides at Victoria University \(vu.edu.au\)](https://www.vu.edu.au/library/guides/apa)

The document for the 6th edition is also attached as it is still relevant and useful in the majority of cases.

3. **Cross-check if all references mentioned in the text are also present in the references list and vice-versa.** The paper must have at least 25 references.

Failure to correct the references will delay the review process.

Also include recent papers (2019-2022) in the references.

Any non-English words must have their equivalent inside square brackets [].

References must be ordered in alphabetical order.

Foreign sources (sources not in English) must be referenced as appropriate. The original names of the source must be included followed by its English translation in square brackets.

<https://libguides.msvu.ca/apa/foreign>

When writing the references in APA 7th edition style, please pay attention to all details. Everything matters to us (a space, a comma, a full-stop, a bracket, a hyphen, lowercase vs uppercase).

4. Address all the concerns of the reviewers, as far as appropriate. Additional comments are provided in the attachments. See note 6 below.

5. Mention the doi of each reference where available.

a. Go to <http://search.crossref.org/>

b. Copy and paste the title of the paper in the search box

c. Press the Enter key.

d. Copy and paste the doi back to the paper (into the reference section) if one is available. DOI may not be available for some of the references. This is fine.

e. There are two ways you can write the doi (1) doi:10.1037/a0028240 or (2) <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0028240> [preferred option]

6. **Prepare a separate word document to indicate the changes that were made as a result of each reviewer's comments. This is compulsory. The revised paper will not be considered without it.**

7. **Paper must be at least 5500 words (inclusive of references)** and must not usually exceed 10,000 words.

8. The abstract must be between 175-250 words. The abstract must preferably be in one paragraph only.

9. The conclusion must be between 175-300 words. One or two paragraphs is preferred but not compulsory.

10. All tables and figures must be included at the required locations within the paper. Captions for figures must be placed below the figure while captions for tables must be placed above the table.

11. You are **required** to have the paper professionally **edited** before submitting the final revised version.

Evidence in the form of track changes must be provided if editing is done. Editing certificate only is not acceptable.

One such good service is: <https://www.proofers.co.uk/editing-process/>

Note that we are not affiliated in any way with them but we know they do a good job in good price and fast. We got this information from our authors.

Note that proof-reading and editing are not the same thing. Proof-reading is a very minor check on the language of the paper. The proof-reader will only correct minor mistakes in the paper.

12. **Similarity score is ok.**




Search in mail



- Compose
- Mail
- Inbox
- Starred
- Snozed
- Sent
- Drafts** 1
- Meet
- More

Editorial Office
International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research
 ISSN: 1694-2116 (Online)
 ISSN: 1694-2493 (Print)
 Website: <http://ijlter.org/>
 Email: ijlter.org@gmail.com

5 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail



Labels



Yuli Astutik <yuli.20006@mhs.unesa.ac.id>
 to IJLTER

Dear Prof. Antonio Sprock,

Thank you so much for informing us of the feedback from the editor and reviewers.
 We are going to revise it as soon as possible.

Best regards

Yuli



Slamet Setiawan <slametsetiawan@unesa.ac.id>
 to IJLTER, me

Dear IJLTER Editor/s,

I hope this email finds you well.
 We would like to inform you that we have made thorough revision as the reviewers' comments and suggestions.
 Please kindly find the three attached files as required.

We are very delighted and happy to find our paper published in your reputable journal.

We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.



Search in mail



- Compose
- Mail
- Chat
- Spaces
- Meet
- Inbox
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts** 1
- More
- Labels

Prof. Antonio Sprock
CE

On Mon, Jul 25, 2022 at 12:29 PM Slamet Setiawan <slametsetiawan@unesa.ac.id> wrote:

Dear Prof. Antonio Sprock,

We thank you very much for the given information concerning the APC.
Please kindly find the attached transfer evidence file for the APC of our paper ID.5486.

I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,

Slamet Setiawan

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



Slamet Setiawan <slametsetiawan@unesa.ac.id>

to IJLTER, me

Dear Prof. Antonio Sprock,

I hope this email finds you well.
We thank you very much for comments and suggestions for our paper.

Please kindly find the attached revised manuscript we have made based on your comments and suggestions.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Slamet Setiawan