




CERTIFICATE

THIS CERTIFICATE IS AWARDED TO


Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D

AS REVIEWER

THE 2ND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
SUSTAINABLE INFORMATION ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (SIET 2017)



Tibyani, S.T, M.T
GENERAL CHAIR OF SIET



Gembong Edhi Setyawan, S.T, M.T
GENERAL CHAIR OF FILKOM CONFERENCES



Wayan Firdaus Mahmudy, S.Si., M.T., Ph.D
DEAN OF COMPUTER SCIENCE FACULTY



KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI, DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

JL. Veteran No.8, Malang, 65145, Indonesia
Telp. : +62-341-577911; Fax : +62-341-577900
<http://filkom.ub.ac.id> E-mail : filkom@ub.ac.id

Nomor : 2934/UN10.F15/TU/2017
Lampiran : 3 Lembar
Perihal : Undangan sebagai Reviewer

12 Oktober 2017

Yth. Bpk/Ibu Reviewer
(Terlampir)

Sehubungan dengan akan diselenggarakannya Focus Group Discussion (FGD) Inviting Reviewer SIET sekaligus sebagai Sarana Sinergi dan Kolaborasi Riset di Bidang Ilmu Komputer Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Universitas Brawijaya, maka bersama ini kami mengharap dengan hormat kehadiran Bpk/Ibu pada:

Hari : Sabtu
Tanggal : 14 Oktober 2017
Pukul : 08.00 – 16.15 WIB
Tempat : Ballroom 2 Ijen Suites Resort & Convention
 Jl. Ijen Nirwana Raya Blok A No. 16 Malang

Demikian disampaikan, atas perhatian dan kehadirannya disampaikan terima kasih.

Mengetahui,
Dekan,



Wayan Firdaus Mahmudy, S.Si., M.T., Ph.D.
NIP. 197209191997021001

Ketua Pelaksana,

Dahnial Syauqy, S.T., M.T., M.Sc.
NIK 2016078704231002



KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI, DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

JL. Veteran No.8, Malang, 65145, Indonesia
Telp. : +62-341-577911; Fax : +62-341-577900
<http://filkom.ub.ac.id> E-mail : filkom@ub.ac.id

Lampiran surat nomor: 2934/UN10.F15/TU/2017

DAFTAR NAMA UNDANGAN
Focus Group Discussion (FGD) Inviting Reviewer SIET
Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Universitas Brawijaya

No.	Nama	Institusi
1	Rosa Andrie Asmara, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Politeknik Negeri Malang (POLINEMA)
2	Indrazno Siradjuddin, ST., MT., Ph.D	Politeknik Negeri Malang (POLINEMA)
3	Faisal Rahutomo, Dr.Eng, ST., MT	Politeknik Negeri Malang (POLINEMA)
4	Erfan Rohadi, Dr. Eng, S.T., M.Eng.	Politeknik Negeri Malang (POLINEMA)
5	Dr. Moehammad Sarosa, Dipl. Ing., M.T.	Politeknik Negeri Malang (POLINEMA)
6	Dr. Mokhamad Amin Haryadi, MT	Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Malang
7	Cahyo Crysdiyan, S.T., MSc., PhD	Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Malang
8	Fatwa Ramdani, Dr.Eng	Universitas Brawijaya
9	Fitra Bachtiar, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Brawijaya
10	Fitri Utaminingrum, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Brawijaya
11	Tri Astoto Kurniawan, S.T, M.T, Ph.D	Universitas Brawijaya
12	Ahmad Afif Supianto, Dr.Eng	Universitas Brawijaya
13	Dwi Arman Prasetya, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Merdeka Malang
14	Imam Sutrisno, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Politeknik Negeri Perkapalan Surabaya
15	Mohammad Abu Jami'in, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Politeknik Negeri Perkapalan Surabaya
16	Romy Budhi Widodo, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Ma Chung, Indonesia
17	Siti Sendari, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Negeri Malang Indonesia
18	Anik Nur Handayani, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T.	Universitas Negeri Malang Indonesia
19	Ilham Ari Elbaith Zaeni, S.T., M.T., Ph.D	Universitas Negeri Malang Indonesia
20	Muhammad Ashar, Dr.Eng., S.T., M.T	Universitas Negeri Malang Indonesia
21	Dr. Arif Muntasa, S.Si, M.T.	Universitas Trunojoyo
22	Dr. Indah Agustien Siradjuddin, S.Kom, M.Kom	Universitas Trunojoyo
23	Noor Ifada, S.T., MISD.	Universitas Trunojoyo
24	Rayi Yanu Tara, ST, M.Eng., Ph.D	Universitas Brawijaya
25	Prof. Dr.Saiful Bukhori, ST, M.Kom	Universitas Jember
26	Faizal Mahananto, S.Kom., M.Eng., Ph.D.	ITS
27	Dr.Eng Sarjono, BEng., M.Eng	BPPT
28	Nuraini rahmawati, S.Kom, M.Sc.Eng, PhD	ITS



KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI, DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

JL. Veteran No.8, Malang, 65145, Indonesia
Telp. : +62-341-577911; Fax : +62-341-577900
<http://filkom.ub.ac.id> E-mail : filkom@ub.ac.id

29	Iwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom., M.Kom., Ph.D	Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo
30	Dr. Lilik Anifah, ST, MT	UNESA
31	Dr. Istiadi, S.T., M.T.	Universitas Widya Gama
32	Dr. Ir. Sabar Setiawidayat, MT.	Universitas Widya Gama
33	Khairul Anam, ST, MT, Ph.D	Universitas Negeri Jember
34	Drs. Achmad Basuki, M.Kom., PhD.	Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya
35	Tri Harsono, SSi., M.Kom., Ph.D.	Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya
36	M. Udin Harun AL Rasyid, S.Kom., Ph.D.	Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya
37	Iwan Syarif, S.Kom., M.Kom., MSc., Ph.D.	Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya
38	Tessy Badriyah, S.Kom., M.Kom., PhD.	Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya
39	Dr. Muladi, S.T., M.T.	Universitas Negeri Malang Indonesia
40	Herman Tolle, Dr. Eng., S.T, M.T	Universitas Brawijaya
41	Dr.Eng. I Komang Somawirata, S.T., M.T.	Institut Teknologi Nasional Malang



KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI, DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
FAKULTAS ILMU KOMPUTER

JL. Veteran No.8, Malang, 65145, Indonesia
Telp. : +62-341-577911; Fax : +62-341-577900
<http://filkom.ub.ac.id> E-mail : filkom@ub.ac.id

Lampiran surat nomor: 2934/UN10.F15/TU/2017

Susunan Acara
Focus Group Discussion (FGD) Inviting Reviewer SIET
Fakultas Ilmu Komputer Universitas Brawijaya

No	Waktu	Agenda	PIC
1.	08.00 – 08.30	Registrasi	
2.	08.30 – 08.45	Sambutan dan Pembukaan: - Sambutan dari Ketua Panitia - Pembukaan dari Dekan	Wayan Firdaus Mahmudy, S.Si., MT., Ph.D.
3.	09.30 – 12.00	Proses review paper	Gembong Edhi Setyawan
4.	12.00 – 13.00	ISHOMA	Panitia
5.	13.00 – 15.00	Proses review paper	Reviewer
6.	15.00 – 16.00	Koordinasi kolaborasi riset	Tibyani, S.T.,M.T.
7.	16.00 – 16.15	Penutupan	Panitia



Dekan,
Wayan Firdaus Mahmudy, S.Si., M.T., Ph.D.
NIP 197209191997021001

REVIEWERS

- **Ahmad Afif Supianto**, Universitas Brawijaya, ID
- **Ahmed Mohamed Galal**, Mansoura Universty, EG
- **Aji Prasetya Wibawa**, Universitas Negeri Malang, ID
- **Anik Nur Handayani**, Universitas Negeri Malang, ID
- **Arif Muntasa**, Universitas Trunojoyo, ID
- **Atiqur Rahman Ahad**, Dhaka University, BD
- **Beta Paramita**, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, ID
- **Cahyo Crysdian**, Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang, ID
- **Dikpride Despa**, Universitas Negeri Lampung, ID
- **Dwi Arman Prasetya**, Universitas Merdeka Malang, ID
- **Erfan Rohadi**, Politeknik Negeri Malang, ID
- **Erick Fernando**, STIKOM Dinamika Bangsa Jambi, ID
- **Faisal Rahutomo**, Politeknik Negeri Malang, ID
- **Faizal Mahananto**, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya, ID
- **Fatwa Ramdani**, Universitas Brawijaya, ID
- **Fitra Bachtiar**, Universitas Brawijaya, ID
- **Fitri Utaminingrum**, Universitas Brawijaya, ID
- **Herman Tolle**, Universitas Brawijaya, ID
- **I Komang Somawirata**, Institut Teknologi Nasional Malang, ID
- **Ilham Ari Elbaith Zaeni**, Universitas Negeri Malang, ID
- **Imam Sutrisno**, Politeknik Negeri Perkapalan Surabaya, ID
- **Indah Agustien Siradjuddin**, Universitas Trunojoyo, ID
- **Indrazno Siradjuddin**, Politeknik Negeri Malang, ID
- **Irwan Alnarus Kautsar**, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, ID
- **Istiadi**, Universitas Widyagama, ID

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-20

2 messages

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 10:46

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***

*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-20] E-Learning Readiness Measurement on Indonesian Student from Individual Perspective

Authors: Annisa Syatitah Muharina, Bayu Kelana

Recommendation: Accept with Revision

FP-20

1. Significant of Contribution (Low)

Comments:

There is no significant of contributions since the paper only report the readiness on the eLearning implementation.

--

2. Originally of Content (Low)

Comments:

The research was conducted with adapt other researcher framework.

--

3. Technical Quality (Very Low)

Comments:

This paper reported the measurement of Elearning Readiness (ELR) with use many framework from other researchers. While reporting the measurements, there is no technical aspects explanation on those report.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (Very Low)

Comments:

In Part III (Methodology), the presenter not clearly enough to describe the methods that being used. Also not clearly enough describe the research questions.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 38% Similarity Index which is 29% of Internet resources, 27% from other publications and 17 Student papers

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with Revision)

Comments:

1. Kindly clear the proposed method at section III (Methodology).

2. Put the measurment results in abstracts.

3. Delete the Aknowledgment part if not used.

4. See review notes.

--

===

FP 20 Review Notes:

Abstract:

By adapting Aydin & Tasci, Darab and Rohayati framework, this study develops the ELR instrument to see from individual perspective, in order to understand the readiness of Indonesian high school students with the e-Learning.

Should be:

This study implement the framework from Aydin et al. and develops the ELR ...

—

The finding show ..

Should be:

Result shown ...

—

Introduction

Increased research ..

should be:
Recent reports .. (also give the references)

III. Methodology
Kindly describe the measurements steps.

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>
Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>
To: irwan@umsida.ac.id
Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

14 October 2017 at 10:54

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-20] E-Learning Readiness Measurement on Indonesian Student from Individual Perspective
Authors: Annisa Syatitah Muharina, Bayu Kelana

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-20

1. Significant of Contribution (Low)

Comments:

There is no significant of contributions since the paper only report the readiness on the eLearning implementation.

--

2. Originally of Content (Low)

Comments:

The research was conducted with adapt other researcher framework.

--

3. Technical Quality (Very Low)

Comments:

This paper reported the measurement of Elearning Readiness (ELR) with use many framework from other researchers. While reporting the measurements, there is no technical aspects explanation on those report.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (Very Low)

Comments:

In Part III (Methodology), the presenter not clearly enough to describe the methods that being used. Also not clearly enough describe the research questions.

Plagiarism Details (if any ? please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 38% Similarity Index which is 29% of Internet resources, 27% from other publications and 17 Student papers

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with Revision)

Comments:

1. Kindly clear the proposed method at section III (Methodology).

2. Put the measurement results in abstracts.

3. Delete the Acknowledgment part if not used.

4. See review notes.

--

===

FP 20 Review Notes:

Abstract:

By adapting Aydin & Tasci, Darab and Rohayati framework, this study develops the ELR instrument to see from individual perspective, in order to understand the readiness of Indonesian high school students with the e-Learning.

Should be:

This study implement the framework from Aydin et al. and develops the ELR ?

?

The finding show ..

Should be:

Result shown ?

?

Introduction

Increased research ..

should be:

Recent reports .. (also give the references)

?

[Quoted text hidden]

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-160

1 message

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 13:06

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-160] An implementation of Delay-Disruption Tolerant Networking Approach in E-learning, Case Study:

belajardisini.com

Authors: Eko Sakti Pramukantoro, Rakhmadhany Primananda

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-160

1. Significant of Contribution (Medium)

Comments:

The use of REST for DTN is not cutting edge technology. But the idea to take advantage the REST API and DTN could give some contribution

--

2. Originally of Content (High)

Comments:

Many research has been conduct the use of REST API as DTN development. However, The originality of this paper was laid on the previous works which combine the gamification concepts.

--

3. Technical Quality (High)

Comments:

This paper reported the combination use of REST.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (medium)

Comments:

The authors are clear enough to describe the research questions. This reports could be improve with add more image as experiment steps.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 2% Similarity Index which is 2% of Internet resources, 2% from other publications and 2% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with Revision)

Comments:

1. Kindly describe the proposed methods (Store, Forward) with chart form at section III (Methodology).

2. Revise the title at 4th section .

3. See review notes.

--

===

FP 160 Review Notes:

Abstract:

Web-based applications can be accessed from anywhere at any time as long as the user is connected to the internet and works on a different platform.

Should be:

The advantages of the Web-based applications are not only accessible in anytime and anywhere as long as it's connected to Internet and work on a various platform.

—

The advantage of internet-based application is can be accessed from anywhere at any time as long as the user is connected to the internet. In education there is an internet-based application known as e-learning that is designed to help the learning process.

Should be:

E-learning is Internet-based application that designated to help learning process which could be accessed from anywhere and anytime.

—
Akamai, one of the famous content distribution network companies, conclude that internet access in Indonesia has not been evenly distributed [2].

Should be:
According to Akamai, internet access in Indonesia has not fairly distributed [2].

-
The internet access that was uneven will impact ..

Should be:
This conditions will impact ..

—
III. Proposed System Architecture.
Kindly describe the proposed approached in clarity.

--
Modify the title of Section IV.

--
It"s preferable to write research result/conclusions in abstract.

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>
Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-138

4 messages

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 13:46

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-138] Message Queue Telemetry Transport Protocols Implementation for Wireless Sensor Networks Communication - A Performance Review
Authors: Sabriansyah Rizqika Akbar (a*), Kasyful Amron (a), Harry Mulya(a), Sofi Hanifah (a)

Recommendation: Accept
FP-160

1. Significant of Contribution (High)

Comments:

It necessary need implement the well known pub/sub interaction schemes in real WSN devices.

--

2. Originally of Content (Medium)

Comments:

The reports only implement the existing pub/sub interaction schemes in real WSN devices.

--

3. Technical Quality (High)

Comments:

Since the report explain the performances review of MQTT in WSN devices, the author are well written the technical aspect.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (High)

Comments:

The authors are clear enough to describe the performances review.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 6% Similarity Index which is 4% of Internet resources, 4% from other publications and 4% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept)

Comments:

Accepted as is.

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>
Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 13:49

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-138] Message Queue Telemetry Transport Protocols Implementation for Wireless Sensor Networks Communication - A Performance Review

Authors: Sabriansyah Rizqika Akbar (a*), Kasyful Amron (a), Harry Mulya(a), Sofi Hanifah (a)

Recommendation: Accept
FP-160

1. Significant of Contribution (High)

Comments:

It necessary need implement the well known pub/sub interaction schemes in real WSN devices.

--

2. Originality of Content (Medium)

Comments:

The reports only implement the existing pub/sub interaction schemes in real WSN devices.

--

3. Technical Quality (High)

Comments:

Since the report explain the performances review of MQTT in WSN devices, the author are well written the technical aspect.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (High)

Comments:

The authors are clear enough to describe the performances review.

Plagiarism Details (if any ? please check for plagiarism, very important):

[Quoted text hidden]

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 13:49

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

[Quoted text hidden]

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 13:49

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***

*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-138] Message Queue Telemetry Transport Protocols Implementation for Wireless Sensor Networks Communication - A Performance Review

Authors: Sabriansyah Rizqika Akbar (a*), Kasyful Amron (a), Harry Mulya(a), Sofi Hanifah (a)

Recommendation: Accept
FP-138

[Quoted text hidden]

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-44

2 messages

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 14:27

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***

*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-44] Software Architecture of e-Assessment on Higher Education

Authors: Wardani Muhamad, Wawa Wikusna

Recommendation: Accept with Revision

FP-44

1. Significant of Contribution (medium)

Comments:

This research conduct the implementation of various architecture on e-Assessment on higher Education.

--

2. Originally of Content (Medium)

Comments:

The reports only describe the proposed architecture that already proposed by other researchers.

--

3. Technical Quality (High)

Comments:

The report are not only well enough to review other architecture that conduct by other researchers. But also well describe the proposed method.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (High)

Comments:

The proposed architecture are well written in Section III. But the research result not written in abstract.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 13% Similarity Index which is 8% of Internet resources, 11% from other publications and 4% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with revision)

Comments:

Kindly see reviewer notes.

--

Reviewer Notes:

1. Write the research result in Abstract

Abstract:

Currently computers technology has been involved to support learning process at university.

Should be:

Computers technology has been used to support learning process at university.

--

Abstract

Architecture Description Language (ADL) used to clearly describe software ...

Should be:

Architecture Description Language (ADL) has been used to describe software ...

Best Regards,

SIET 2017 Organizing Committee

Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>

Email: siet@ub.ac.id

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>
To: irwan@umsida.ac.id
Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

14 October 2017 at 14:28

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-44] Software Architecture of e-Assessment on Higher Education
Authors: Wardani Muhamad, Wawa Wikusna

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-44

1. Significant of Contribution (medium)

Comments:

This research conduct the implementation of various architecture on e-Assessment on higher Education.

--

2. Originally of Content (Medium)

Comments:

The reports only describe the proposed architecture that already proposed by other researchers.

--

3. Technical Quality (High)

Comments:

The report are not only well enough to review other architecture that conduct by other researchers. But also well describe the proposed method.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (High)

Comments:

The proposed architecture are well written in Section III. But the research result not written in abstract.

Plagiarism Details (if any ? please check for plagiarism, very important):

[Quoted text hidden]

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-50

1 message

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 14:39

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-50] Cryptography on audio files using the blowfish algorithm

Authors: Nuniek Fahriani (a*) Deni Sutaji (b) Onny Kartika Waluya (c)

Recommendation: Reject
FP-50

1. Significant of Contribution (low)

Comments:

This research conduct the implementation of blowfish algorithm audio files. Its already mature implementation on this kind of research. Also, the author not conduct the efficiencies of implemented algorithm.

--
2. Originally of Content (low)

Comments:

This research only use existing encryption algorithm to .

--
3. Technical Quality (low)

Comments:

The images structure that used to report the research are not well written

--
4. Clarity of Presentation (very low)

Comments:

The explanation of the used algorithm are not clear enough.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 24% Similarity Index which is 16% of Internet resources, 20% from other publications and 17% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

--
5. Overall Recommendation (Reject)

Comments:

The author need to add more contribution in this research.

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>
Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-158

1 message

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 14:49

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-158] Data Gathering in Rural Area using Publish/Subscribe over Wireless Mesh Network

Authors: Eko Sakti Pramukantoro, Kasyful Amron, Hanif Kuncahyo Adi

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-158

1. Significant of Contribution (low)

Comments:

This research only conduct the mechanism of data collections on the WSN devices. The data collections itself not use the cutting edge algorithm that can be proposed methods.

2. Originally of Content (low)

Comments:

This research only reports the implementation of data collection in WSN devices. Also do not well written the mechanism.

3. Technical Quality (low)

Comments:

The images structure that used to report the research are not well structured. Especially the label of images.

4. Clarity of Presentation (low)

Comments:

The mecanism of the data collection are not clear enough to be explained. It seem that the author not used the conferences templates.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 13% Similarity Index which is 7% of Internet resources, 7% from other publications and 9% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with revision)

Comments:

The author need to explain more in detail the mechanism of data collections.

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>
Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-52

1 message

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 14:58

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-52] Garbage Transportation Route Based on Vehicle Capacity and Container Condition in Central Surabaya

Authors: Ricky Setiawan Hartanto, Edwin Hendrawan

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-158

1. Significant of Contribution (medium)

Comments:

This research reports the garbage collection route using algorithm to optimize the vehicle distances.

--

2. Originality of Content (low)

Comments:

This research only perform the Genetic Algorithm to solve problem as salesman travel problem.

--

3. Technical Quality (low)

Comments:

The images are not well explained in order to explain the implementation of genetic algorithm.

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (low)

Comments:

The mechanism of the garbage collection that implement genetics algorithm are not well written. It clearly enough that the author not used the conferences templates.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 12% Similarity Index which is 10% of Internet resources, 7% from other publications and 4% Student papers. This plagiarism details are acceptable.

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with revision)

Comments:

1. The author should clarify the implementation of GA for garbage collections.
2. The author need to write the paper in conferences templates.
3. The algorithm that being used are preferable to write in title for the sake of paper quality.

Best Regards,

SIET 2017 Organizing Committee

Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>

Email: siet@ub.ac.id

Listed in Indonesia Conference Directory | <http://ifory.net>

Automated Conference System provided by Konfrenzi | <http://konfrenzi.com>

Thank for your review - Paper ID: FP-126

1 message

SIET 2017 <siet2017.automail@interconf.org>

14 October 2017 at 15:28

To: irwan@umsida.ac.id

Cc: siet@ub.ac.id

*** Please do NOT reply this automail ***
*** Always send your email to siet@ub.ac.id ***

Dear Irwan Alnarus Kautsar, S.Kom.,M.Kom.,Ph.D,

Thank you for your review to the following paper,

[Paper ID: FP-126] Service Discovery using Zone Routing Protocol for ad hoc networks

Authors: Desy Intan Permatasari, Irma Wulandari

Recommendation: Accept with Revision
FP-126

1. Significant of Contribution (medium)

Comments:

This research reports the service discovery using ZRP that already introduced in 1997.

--

2. Originally of Content (low)

Comments:

This research only perform the ZRP. An routing protocol that has been introduced for almost 20 years ago. No enhancement that being made to increase of processing time in Service Discovery.

--

3. Technical Quality (low)

Comments:

The images quality are not well structured to explain the implementation of ZRP. Only display the common ZRP figure. (Figure 1)

--

4. Clarity of Presentation (medium)

Comments:

The author are clearly enough to describe the performaces analysis result.

Plagiarism Details (if any – please check for plagiarism, very important):

Comments:

The originality report said: 54% Similarity Index which is 48% of Internet resources, 49% from other publications and 21% Student papers. This plagiarism details are not acceptable. The author need more restructured the sentences. For the sake of originality of the paper.

--

5. Overall Recommendation (Accept with revision)

Comments:

1. Title are not well structured (see reviewer notes)

2. The author need to write the paper in conferences templates.

3. The protocols that being used are need to be well explained in one or two more figure. To distinguish the previous research and the proposed methods

Reviewer notes:

1. The author are considered to change title to be:

The use of Zone Routing Protocol in ad hoc networks for Service Discovery

Best Regards,
SIET 2017 Organizing Committee
Website: <http://siet2017.interconf.org>
Email: siet@ub.ac.id